

NEWMONT MINING CORP /DE/

FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 04/30/13 for the Period Ending 03/31/13

Address	6363 SOUTH FIDDLERS GREEN CIRCLE GREENWOOD VILLAGE, CO 80111
Telephone	303-863-7414
CIK	0001164727
Symbol	NEM
SIC Code	1040 - Gold And Silver Ores
Industry	Gold & Silver
Sector	Basic Materials
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D. C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-31240



NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

84-1611629
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

6363 South Fiddler's Green Circle
Greenwood Village, Colorado
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

80111
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (303) 863-7414

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12-b2 of the Exchange Act.

(Check one): Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company.) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 492,319,340 shares of common stock outstanding on April 22, 2013 (and 4,893,906 exchangeable shares).

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	38
Overview	38
Selected Financial and Operating Results	40
Consolidated Financial Results	41
Results of Consolidated Operations	46
Liquidity and Capital Resources	49
Environmental	52
Accounting Developments	52
Non-GAAP Financial Measures	53
Safe Harbor Statement	56
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	56
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	59
PART II	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	60
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	60
ITEM 2. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	60
ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	60
ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	60
ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION	61
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	61
SIGNATURES	62
EXHIBIT INDEX	63

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
 (unaudited, in millions except per share)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Sales (Note 3)	\$ 2,177	\$ 2,683
Costs and expenses		
Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾ (Note 3)	1,044	1,017
Amortization (Note 3)	267	231
Reclamation and remediation (Note 4)	18	16
Exploration	59	88
Advanced projects, research and development	52	102
General and administrative	56	54
Other expense, net (Note 5)	100	120
	<u>1,596</u>	<u>1,628</u>
Other income (expense)		
Other income, net (Note 6)	26	33
Interest expense, net	(65)	(52)
	<u>(39)</u>	<u>(19)</u>
Income before income and mining tax and other items	542	1,036
Income and mining tax expense (Note 7)	(181)	(343)
Equity income (loss) of affiliates	(4)	(19)
Income from continuing operations	357	674
Income (loss) from discontinued operations (Note 8)	—	(71)
Net income	357	603
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (Note 9)	(42)	(113)
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	<u>\$ 315</u>	<u>\$ 490</u>
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders:		
Continuing operations	\$ 315	\$ 561
Discontinued operations	—	(71)
	<u>\$ 315</u>	<u>\$ 490</u>
Income per common share (Note 10)		
Basic:		
Continuing operations	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.13
Discontinued operations	—	(0.14)
	<u>\$ 0.63</u>	<u>\$ 0.99</u>
Diluted:		
Continuing operations	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.11
Discontinued operations	—	(0.14)
	<u>\$ 0.63</u>	<u>\$ 0.97</u>
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.425	\$ 0.35

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization and Reclamation and remediation*.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
STATEMENTS OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(unaudited, in millions)

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
	(in millions)	
Net income	\$ 357	\$ 603
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized loss on marketable securities, net of \$38 and \$23 tax benefit, respectively	(52)	(40)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(12)	10
Change in pension and other post-retirement benefits, net of \$5 and \$2 tax expense, respectively	5	4
Change in fair value of cash flow hedge instruments, net of \$15 and \$(26) tax benefit (expense), respectively		
Net change from periodic revaluations	21	69
Net amount reclassified to income	(24)	(35)
Net unrecognized (loss) gain on derivatives	(3)	34
Other comprehensive income	(62)	8
Comprehensive income	<u>\$ 295</u>	<u>\$ 611</u>
Comprehensive income attributable to:		
Newmont stockholders	\$ 254	\$ 496
Noncontrolling interests	41	115
	<u>\$ 295</u>	<u>\$ 611</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited, in millions)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 357	\$ 603
Adjustments:		
Amortization	267	231
Stock based compensation and other non-cash benefits	19	17
Reclamation and remediation	18	16
Loss from discontinued operations	—	71
Impairment of marketable securities	4	24
Deferred income taxes	(11)	(55)
Gain on asset sales, net	(1)	(10)
Other operating adjustments and write-downs	74	72
Net change in operating assets and liabilities (Note 23)	(288)	(356)
Net cash provided from continuing operations	439	613
Net cash used in discontinued operations	(6)	(4)
Net cash provided from operations	433	609
Investing activities:		
Additions to property, plant and mine development	(510)	(696)
Acquisitions, net	(8)	(11)
Sale of marketable securities	1	—
Purchases of marketable securities	(1)	(143)
Proceeds from sale of other assets	25	12
Other	(14)	(17)
Net cash used in investing activities	(507)	(855)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from debt, net	80	3,346
Repayment of debt	—	(1,907)
Payment of conversion premium on debt	—	(172)
Proceeds from stock issuance, net	1	2
Sale of noncontrolling interests	32	—
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests	(6)	—
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(211)	(173)
Other	(1)	(2)
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	(105)	1,094
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(4)	4
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(183)	852
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,561	1,760
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 1,378</u>	<u>\$ 2,612</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited, in millions)

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,378	\$ 1,561
Trade receivables	212	283
Accounts receivable	553	577
Investments (Note 15)	75	86
Inventories (Note 16)	846	796
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads (Note 17)	956	786
Deferred income tax assets	297	195
Other current assets (Note 18)	1,309	1,661
Current assets	5,626	5,945
Property, plant and mine development, net	18,151	18,010
Investments (Note 15)	1,361	1,446
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads (Note 17)	2,952	2,896
Deferred income tax assets	473	481
Other long-term assets (Note 18)	888	872
Total assets	<u>\$ 29,451</u>	<u>\$ 29,650</u>
LIABILITIES		
Debt (Note 19)	\$ 10	\$ 10
Accounts payable	590	657
Employee-related benefits	290	339
Income and mining taxes	109	51
Other current liabilities (Note 20)	1,653	2,084
Current liabilities	2,652	3,141
Debt (Note 19)	6,379	6,288
Reclamation and remediation liabilities (Note 4)	1,465	1,457
Deferred income tax liabilities	862	858
Employee-related benefits	598	586
Other long-term liabilities (Note 20)	370	372
Total liabilities	<u>12,326</u>	<u>12,702</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 25)		
EQUITY		
Common stock	788	787
Additional paid-in capital	8,407	8,330
Accumulated other comprehensive income	429	490
Retained earnings	4,270	4,166
Newmont stockholders' equity	13,894	13,773
Noncontrolling interests	3,231	3,175
Total equity	17,125	16,948
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 29,451</u>	<u>\$ 29,650</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (“interim statements”) of Newmont Mining Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, “Newmont” or the “Company”) are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and disclosures necessary for a fair presentation of these interim statements have been included. The results reported in these interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be reported for the entire year. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with Newmont’s Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed February 22, 2013 on Form 10-K. The year-end balance sheet data was derived from the audited financial statements and, in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q, certain information and footnote disclosures required by United States generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted. References to “A\$” refer to Australian currency, “C\$” to Canadian currency and “NZ\$” to New Zealand currency.

On March 12, 2013, Newmont completed the sale of the Hope Bay Project to TMAC Resources Inc. (“TMAC”). At March 31, 2013, Newmont held a 49.9% voting interest in TMAC and an economic interest of 70.4%. The Company has made available a \$15 credit facility due June 2014. Newmont has identified TMAC as a Variable Interest Entity (“VIE”) under FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”)—Consolidation guidance. Based upon the ASC guidance for VIEs, and the ownership structure, Newmont has determined that it has a controlling financial interest in TMAC and is therefore the primary beneficiary. As such, Newmont consolidated TMAC in its consolidated financial statements. TMAC has indicated that they anticipate raising funds at an undetermined date through an initial public offering (“IPO”). Should such an IPO occur, which there can be no assurance of such offering occurring, it is expected that Newmont’s ownership will be reduced and Newmont would reevaluate whether or not it is still required to consolidate under the applicable ASC guidance.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Reporting of Amounts reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, ASC guidance was issued related to items reclassified from *Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. The new standard requires either in a single note or parenthetically on the face of the financial statements: (i) the effect of significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income based on its source and (ii) the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. Adoption of the new guidance, effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2013, had no impact on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In November 2011, ASC guidance was issued related to disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The new standard requires disclosures to allow investors to better compare financial statements prepared under U.S. GAAP with financial statements prepared under IFRS. In January 2013, an update was issued to further clarify that the disclosure requirements are limited to derivatives, repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions to the extent that they are (i) offset in the financial statements or (ii) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Adoption of the new guidance, effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2013, had no impact on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Foreign Currency Matters

In March 2013, ASC guidance was issued related to Foreign Currency Matters to clarify the treatment of cumulative translation adjustments when a parent sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business within a foreign entity. The updated guidance also resolves the diversity in practice for the treatment of business combinations achieved in stages in a foreign entity. The update is effective prospectively for the Company’s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2014. The Company does not expect the updated guidance to have an impact on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 3 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's reportable segments are based upon the Company's management structure that is focused on the geographic region for the Company's operations. Segment results for 2012 have been retrospectively revised to reflect an organizational change, effective in the first quarter of 2013, that moved the Indonesia operations to a separately managed region. Geographic regions now include North America, South America, Australia/New Zealand, Indonesia, Africa and Corporate and Other. The financial information relating to the Company's segments is as follows:

	Sales	Costs Applicable to Sales	Amortization	Advanced Projects and Exploration	Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Total Assets	Capital Expenditures ⁽¹⁾
Three Months Ended March 31, 2013							
Nevada	\$ 570	\$ 272	\$ 59	\$ 25	\$ 209	\$ 7,680	\$ 106
La Herradura	90	40	6	6	37	476	19
Other North America	—	—	—	1	(46)	190	—
North America	660	312	65	32	200	8,346	125
Yanacocha	455	158	70	13	195	3,063	48
Conga	—	—	—	1	1	1,646	86
Other South America	—	—	—	5	(6)	101	21
South America	455	158	70	19	190	4,810	155
Boddington:							
Gold	329	174	42				
Copper	65	48	10				
Total	394	222	52	—	114	4,627	25
Other Australia/New Zealand	392	232	46	12	93	2,187	41
Australia/New Zealand	786	454	98	12	207	6,814	66
Batu Hijau:							
Gold	11	7	2				
Copper	70	47	9				
Total	81	54	11	6	(4)	3,854	23
Other Indonesia	—	—	—	—	3	4	—
Indonesia	81	54	11	6	(1)	3,858	23
Ahafo	195	66	17	13	96	1,501	60
Akyem	—	—	—	3	(5)	1,066	68
Other Africa	—	—	—	3	(3)	1	—
Africa	195	66	17	19	88	2,568	128
Corporate and Other	—	—	6	23	(142)	3,055	—
Consolidated	\$2,177	\$ 1,044	\$ 267	\$ 111	\$ 542	\$29,451	\$ 497

⁽¹⁾ Includes a decrease in accrued capital expenditures of \$13; consolidated capital expenditures on a cash basis were \$510.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

	Sales	Costs Applicable to Sales	Amortization	Advanced Projects and Exploration	Pre-Tax Income (Loss)	Total Assets	Capital Expenditures (1)
Three Months Ended March 31, 2012							
Nevada	\$ 723	\$ 267	\$ 53	\$ 34	\$ 369	\$ 7,092	\$ 157
La Herradura	93	32	5	6	45	371	21
Other North America	—	—	—	—	(52)	175	—
North America	816	299	58	40	362	7,638	178
Yanacocha	594	161	50	17	349	2,745	93
Conga	—	—	—	27	(27)	1,254	147
Other South America	—	—	—	25	(25)	74	27
South America	594	161	50	69	297	4,073	267
Boddington:							
Gold	298	137	32				
Copper	61	30	6				
Total	359	167	38	3	143	4,661	23
Other Australia/New Zealand	427	190	37	21	185	1,993	73
Australia/New Zealand	786	357	75	24	328	6,654	96
Batu Hijau:							
Gold	34	19	3				
Copper	172	85	16				
Total	206	104	19	7	48	3,671	33
Other Indonesia	—	—	—	—	(1)	2	—
Indonesia	206	104	19	7	47	3,673	33
Ahafo	281	96	24	11	150	1,277	50
Akyem	—	—	—	4	(5)	653	85
Other Africa	—	—	—	2	(2)	5	—
Africa	281	96	24	17	143	1,935	135
Corporate and Other	—	—	5	33	(141)	4,988	11
Consolidated	<u>\$2,683</u>	<u>\$ 1,017</u>	<u>\$ 231</u>	<u>\$ 190</u>	<u>\$1,036</u>	<u>\$28,961</u>	<u>\$ 720</u>

(1) Includes an increase in accrued capital expenditures of \$24; consolidated capital expenditures on a cash basis were \$696.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 4 RECLAMATION AND REMEDIATION

The Company's *Reclamation and remediation* expense consisted of:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Accretion - operating	\$ 15	\$ 14
Accretion - non-operating	3	2
	<u>\$ 18</u>	<u>\$ 16</u>

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$1,350 and \$1,341, respectively, were accrued for reclamation obligations relating to mineral properties. In addition, the Company is involved in several matters concerning environmental obligations associated with former, primarily historic, mining activities. Generally, these matters concern developing and implementing remediation plans at the various sites involved. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$195 and \$198, respectively, were accrued for such obligations. These amounts are also included in *Reclamation and remediation liabilities*.

The following is a reconciliation of *Reclamation and remediation liabilities*:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 1,539	\$ 1,240
Additions, changes in estimates and other	(3)	105
Liabilities settled	(9)	(28)
Accretion expense	18	16
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 1,545</u>	<u>\$ 1,333</u>

The current portion of *Reclamation and remediation liabilities* of \$80 and \$82 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, are included in *Other current liabilities* (see Note 20).

NOTE 5 OTHER EXPENSE, NET

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
TMAC transaction costs	\$ 45	\$ —
Regional administration	18	21
Community development	13	31
Restructuring and other	9	—
Western Australia power plant	4	4
World Gold Council Dues	1	3
Hope Bay care and maintenance	(2)	50
Other	12	11
	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>\$ 120</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 6 OTHER INCOME, NET

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Canadian Oil Sands	\$ 10	\$ 9
Interest	4	5
Derivative ineffectiveness, net	3	2
Refinery income, net	3	5
Development projects, net	1	14
Gain on asset sales, net	1	10
Reduction of allowance for loan receivable	—	21
Foreign currency exchange, net	(3)	(15)
Impairment of marketable securities	(4)	(24)
Other	11	6
	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ 33</u>

NOTE 7 INCOME AND MINING TAXES

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company recorded estimated income and mining tax expense of \$181 resulting in an effective tax rate of 33%. Estimated income and mining tax expense during the first quarter of 2012 was \$343 for an effective tax rate of 33%.

The Company's income and mining tax expense differed from the statutory rate of 35% for the following reasons:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2013		2012	
<i>Income before income and mining tax and other items</i>		\$542		\$1,036
Tax on income at 35% statutory rate	35%	\$190	35%	\$ 363
Reconciling items:				
Percentage depletion		(7)	(7)	(74)
Change in valuation allowance on deferred tax assets		1	3	32
Other		4	2	22
<i>Income and mining tax expense</i>	<u>33%</u>	<u>\$181</u>	<u>33%</u>	<u>\$ 343</u>

The Company operates in numerous countries around the world and accordingly it is subject to, and pays annual income taxes under, the various income tax regimes in the countries in which it operates. Some of these tax regimes are defined by contractual agreements with the local government, and others are defined by the general corporate income tax laws of the country. The Company has historically filed, and continues to file, all required income tax returns and pay the income taxes reasonably determined to be due. The tax rules and regulations in many countries are highly complex and subject to interpretation. From time to time the Company is subject to a review of its historic income tax filings and in connection with such reviews, disputes can arise with the taxing authorities over the interpretation or application of certain rules to the Company's business conducted within the country involved.

At March 31, 2013, the Company's total unrecognized tax benefit was \$402 for uncertain income tax positions taken or expected to be taken on income tax returns. Of this, \$126 represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the Company's effective income tax rate.

As a result of the statute of limitations that expire in the next 12 months in various jurisdictions, and possible settlements of audit-related issues with taxing authorities in various jurisdictions with respect to which none of the issues are individually significant, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of its net unrecognized income tax benefits will decrease by approximately \$15 to \$20 in the next 12 months.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 8 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations include Holloway Mining Company, which owned the Holt-McDermott property (“Holt property”) that was sold to St. Andrew Goldfields Ltd. (“St. Andrew”) in 2006. In 2009, the Superior Court issued a decision finding Newmont Canada Corporation (“Newmont Canada”) liable for a sliding scale royalty on production from the Holt property, which was upheld in 2011 by the Ontario Court of Appeal. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company recorded an additional \$71 charge, net of tax benefits of \$4, to reflect an increase in future expected production at the Holt property due to new reserve and resource estimates published by St. Andrew.

Net operating cash used in discontinued operations of \$6 and \$4 in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012 relates to payments on the Holt property royalty.

NOTE 9 NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Minera Yanacocha	\$ 57	\$ 98
TMAC	(12)	—
Batu Hijau	(3)	13
Other	—	2
	<u>\$ 42</u>	<u>\$ 113</u>

Newmont has a 51.35% ownership interest in Minera Yanacocha S.R.L. (“Yanacocha”), with the remaining interests held by Compañía de Minas Buenaventura, S.A.A. (43.65%) and the International Finance Corporation (5%).

Newmont has a 70.4% economic ownership interest in TMAC, with remaining interests held by various outside investors.

Newmont has a 48.5% effective economic interest in PT Newmont Nusa Tenggara (“PTNNT”) with remaining interests held by various Indonesian entities, in accordance with PTNNT’s Contract of Work. PTNNT operates the Batu Hijau copper and gold mine in Indonesia. Based on ASC guidance for variable interest entities, Newmont consolidates PTNNT in its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 10 INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

Basic income per common share is computed by dividing income available to Newmont common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share is computed similarly except that weighted average common shares is increased to reflect all dilutive instruments.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 315	\$ 490
Weighted average common shares (millions):		
Basic	497	495
Effect of employee stock-based awards	1	2
Effect of convertible notes	—	7
Diluted	<u>498</u>	<u>504</u>
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders per common share		
Basic:		
Continuing operations	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.13
Discontinued operations	—	(0.14)
	<u>\$ 0.63</u>	<u>\$ 0.99</u>
Diluted:		
Continuing operations	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.11
Discontinued operations	—	(0.14)
	<u>\$ 0.63</u>	<u>\$ 0.97</u>

Options to purchase 4 and 1 million shares of common stock at average exercise prices of \$49 and \$59 were outstanding at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted weighted average common shares because their exercise prices exceeded the average price of the Company's common stock for the respective periods presented.

Newmont is required to settle the principal amount of its 2014 and 2017 Convertible Senior Notes in cash and may elect to settle the remaining conversion premium (average share price in excess of the conversion price), if any, in cash, shares or a combination thereof. The effect of contingently convertible instruments on diluted earnings per share is calculated under the net share settlement method in accordance with ASC guidance. The average price of the Company's common stock exceeded the conversion prices for all periods presented, resulting in additional shares included in the computation of diluted weighted average common shares.

In February 2012, the holders of the Company's 2012 Convertible Senior Notes exercised their election to convert the notes. The Company elected to pay the \$172 conversion premium with cash, and as a result no common shares were issued.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 11 EMPLOYEE PENSION AND OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Pension benefit costs, net		
Service cost	\$ 9	\$ 7
Interest cost	10	10
Expected return on plan assets	(12)	(11)
Amortization, net	8	6
	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ 12</u>

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Other benefit costs, net		
Service cost	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost	1	1
	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>

NOTE 12 STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Stock options	\$ 3	\$ 4
Restricted stock units	9	5
Performance leveraged stock units	2	3
	<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$ 12</u>

NOTE 13 FAIR VALUE ACCOUNTING

Fair value accounting establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and
- Level 3 Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (supported by little or no market activity).

The following table sets forth the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) by level within the fair value hierarchy. As required by accounting guidance, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

	Fair Value at March 31, 2013			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$ 61	\$ 61	\$ —	\$ —
Marketable equity securities:				
Extractive industries	1,304	1,304	—	—
Other	4	4	—	—
Marketable debt securities:				
Asset backed commercial paper	23	—	—	23
Corporate	13	—	13	—
Auction rate securities	5	—	—	5
Trade receivable from provisional copper and gold concentrate sales, net	126	126	—	—
Derivative instruments, net:				
Foreign exchange forward contracts	236	—	236	—
Diesel forward contracts	3	—	3	—
	<u>\$1,775</u>	<u>\$1,495</u>	<u>\$ 252</u>	<u>\$ 28</u>
Liabilities:				
Boddington contingent consideration	33	—	—	33
Holt property royalty	234	—	—	234
	<u>\$ 267</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 267</u>

The fair values of the derivative instruments in the table above are presented on a net basis. The gross amounts related to the fair value of the derivatives instruments above are included in the *Derivatives Instruments* Note (see Note 14). All other Fair Value disclosures in the above table are presented on a gross basis.

The Company's cash equivalent instruments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. The cash equivalent instruments that are valued based on quoted market prices in active markets are primarily money market securities and U.S. Treasury securities.

The Company's marketable equity securities are valued using quoted market prices in active markets and as such are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The securities are segregated based on industry. The fair value of the marketable equity securities is calculated as the quoted market price of the marketable equity security multiplied by the quantity of shares held by the Company.

The Company's marketable corporate debt securities are mainly comingled fund investments that are classified within Level 2 with the unit of account considered to be at the fund level. Therefore, the investments are classified as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company's marketable debt securities also include investments in auction rate securities and asset backed commercial paper. The Company reviews the fair value for auction rate securities and asset backed commercial paper on a quarterly basis. The auction rate securities are traded in markets that are not active, trade infrequently and have little price transparency. See table below which sets forth a summary of the quantitative and qualitative information related to the significant unobservable inputs used in the calculation of the fair value.

The Company's net trade receivable from provisional copper and gold concentrate sales, subject to final pricing, is valued using quoted market prices based on forward curves and, as such, is classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company's derivative instruments are valued using pricing models and the Company generally uses similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, credit spreads, measures of volatility, and correlations of such inputs. The Company's derivatives trade in liquid markets, and as such, model inputs can generally be verified and do not involve significant management judgment. Such instruments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The estimated value of the Boddington contingent royalty was determined using a Monte Carlo valuation model which simulates future gold and copper prices and costs applicable to sales. This contingent royalty is capped at \$100, and at June 30, 2012, the Company increased the accrual to the maximum of \$100. The Boddington contingent royalty is classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. See table below which sets forth a summary of the quantitative and qualitative information related to the significant unobservable inputs used in the calculation of the fair value.

The estimated fair value of the Holt sliding scale royalty was determined using a Monte Carlo valuation model. The sliding scale royalty liability is classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. See table below which sets forth a summary of the quantitative and qualitative information related to the significant unobservable inputs used in the calculation of the fair value.

The following table sets forth a summary of the quantitative and qualitative information related to the unobservable inputs used in the calculation of the Company's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Description	At March 31,		Valuation technique	Unobservable input	Range/Weighted average
	2013				
Auction Rate Securities	\$	5	Discounted cash flow	Weighted average recoverability rate	58%
Asset Backed Commercial Paper		23	Discounted cash flow	Recoverability rate	72-88%
Boddington Contingent Consideration		33	Monte Carlo	Discount rate	4%
				LT Gold price	\$ 1,500
				LT Copper price	\$ 3.50
Holt property royalty		234	Monte Carlo	Weighted average discount rate	3 %
				LT Gold price	\$ 1,500

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the year ended March 31, 2013:

Description	Auction Rate		Asset Backed		Boddington		Total Liabilities					
	Securities		Commercial Paper		Contingent							
				Total Assets	Royalty	Holt Property Royalty						
Balance at beginning of period	\$	5	\$	19	\$	24	\$	41	\$	240	\$	281
Settlements		—		—		—		(8)		(6)		(14)
Revaluation		—		4		4		—		—		—
Balance at end of period	\$	5	\$	23	\$	28	\$	33	\$	234	\$	267

At March 31, 2013, assets and liabilities classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy represent 2% and 100%, respectively, of total assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 14 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company's strategy is to provide shareholders with leverage to changes in gold and copper prices by selling its production at spot market prices. Consequently, the Company does not hedge its gold and copper sales. The Company continues to manage certain risks associated with commodity input costs, interest rates and foreign currencies using the derivative market. All of the derivative instruments described below were transacted for risk management purposes and qualify as cash flow.

Cash Flow Hedges

The foreign currency, diesel and forward starting swap contracts are designated as cash flow hedges, and as such, the effective portion of unrealized changes in market value have been recorded in *Accumulated other comprehensive income* and are reclassified to earnings during the period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses from hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Foreign Currency Contracts

Newmont utilizes foreign currency contracts to reduce the variability of the US dollar amount of forecasted foreign currency expenditures caused by changes in exchange rates. Newmont hedges a portion of the Company's A\$ and NZ\$ denominated operating expenditures which results in a blended rate realized each period. The hedging instruments are fixed forward contracts with expiration dates ranging up to five years from the date of issue. The principal hedging objective is reduction in the volatility of realized period-on-period \$/A\$ and \$/NZ\$ rates, respectively.

In June 2011, Newmont began hedging a portion of the Company's A\$ denominated capital expenditures related to the Akyem project in Africa utilizing fixed forward contracts with expiration dates up to three years.

Newmont had the following foreign currency derivative contracts outstanding at March 31, 2013:

	Expected Maturity Date						Total/ Average
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
A\$ Operating Fixed Forward Contracts:							
A\$ notional (millions)	959	1,035	737	490	208	14	3,443
Average rate (\$/A\$)	0.95	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93
Expected hedge ratio	80 %	67 %	48 %	32 %	13 %	4 %	
A\$ Capital Fixed Forward Contracts:							
A\$ notional (millions)	3	—	—	—	—	—	3
Average rate (\$/A\$)	0.96	—	—	—	—	—	0.96
Expected hedge ratio	36 %	—	—	—	—	—	
NZ\$ Operating Fixed Forward Contracts:							
NZ\$ notional (millions)	55	35	3	—	—	—	93
Average rate (\$/NZ\$)	0.80	0.80	0.79	—	—	—	0.80
Expected hedge ratio	60 %	30 %	11 %	—	—	—	

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Diesel Fixed Forward Contracts

Newmont hedges a portion of its operating cost exposure related to diesel consumed at its Nevada operations to reduce the variability in realized diesel prices. The hedging instruments consist of a series of financially settled fixed forward contracts with expiration dates up to three years.

Newmont had the following diesel derivative contracts outstanding at March 31, 2013:

	Expected Maturity Date				Total/ Average
	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Diesel Fixed Forward Contracts:					
Diesel gallons (millions)	20	18	8	1	47
Average rate (\$/gallon)	2.92	2.88	2.79	2.76	2.88
Expected hedge ratio	61%	41%	19%	5%	

Forward Starting Swap Contracts

During 2011, Newmont entered into forward starting interest rate swap contracts with a total notional value of \$2,000. These contracts hedged movements in treasury rates related to a debt issuance that occurred in the first quarter of 2012. On March 8, 2012, Newmont closed its sale of \$2,500 senior notes consisting of 3.5% senior notes due 2022 in the principal amount of \$1,500 (10-year notes), and 4.875% senior notes due 2042 in the principal amount of \$1,000 (30-year notes). As a result, the forward-starting interest rate swaps were settled for \$362, of which \$349 represented the effective portion of the hedging instrument included in *Accumulated other comprehensive income*. The net proceeds from the debt issuance were adjusted by the settlement amount of the swap contracts and included as a financing activity in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow.

Derivative Instrument Fair Values

Newmont had the following derivative instruments designated as hedges at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Fair Value			
	At March 31, 2013			
	Other Current Assets	Other Long- Term Assets	Other Current Liabilities	Other Long- Term Liabilities
Foreign currency exchange contracts:				
A\$ operating fixed forwards	\$ 105	\$ 130	\$ —	\$ 1
NZ\$ operating fixed forwards	2	—	—	—
Diesel fixed forwards	2	1	—	—
Total derivative instruments (Note 18 and 20)	<u>\$ 109</u>	<u>\$ 131</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>

	Fair Value			
	At December 31, 2012			
	Other Current Assets	Other Long- Term Assets	Other Current Liabilities	Other Long- Term Liabilities
Foreign currency exchange contracts:				
A\$ operating fixed forwards	\$ 108	143	—	1
NZ\$ operating fixed forwards	2	—	—	—
Diesel fixed forwards	2	1	1	1
Total derivative instruments (Note 18 and 20)	<u>\$ 112</u>	<u>\$ 144</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The following tables show the location and amount of gains (losses) reported in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements related to the Company's cash flow hedges.

	Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts		Diesel Forward Contracts		Forward Starting Swaps	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	For the three months ended March 31,					
Cash flow hedging relationships:						
Gain recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion)	\$ 18	\$ 62	\$ 3	\$ 12	—	\$36
Gain(loss) reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income into income (effective portion) (1)	38	47	1	3	(3)	(1)
Gain recognized in income (ineffective portion) (2)	—	—	3	—	—	2

(1) The gain (loss) for the effective portion of the foreign exchange and diesel cash flow hedges reclassified from *Accumulated other comprehensive income* is included in *Costs applicable to sales*. The loss for the effective portion of the forward starting swaps reclassified from *Accumulated other comprehensive income* is included in *Interest Expense*.

(2) The ineffective portion recognized for cash flow hedges is included in *Other Income, net*.

The amount to be reclassified from *Accumulated other comprehensive income*, net of tax to income for derivative instruments during the next 12 months is a gain of approximately \$58.

Provisional Copper and Gold Sales

The Company's provisional copper and gold sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of the gold and copper concentrates at the prevailing indices' prices at the time of sale. The embedded derivative, which does not qualify for hedge accounting, is marked to market through earnings each period prior to final settlement.

LME copper prices averaged \$3.60 per pound during the first quarter of 2013, consistent with the Company's recorded average provisional price before mark-to-market losses and treatment and refining charges. During the first quarter of 2013, changes in copper prices resulted in a provisional pricing mark-to-market loss of \$9 (\$0.21 per pound). At March 31, 2013, Newmont had copper sales of 38 million pounds priced at an average of \$3.45 per pound, subject to final pricing over the next several months.

The average London P.M. fix for gold was \$1,632 per ounce during the first quarter of 2013, consistent with the Company's recorded average provisional price before mark-to-market gains and treatment and refining charges. During the first quarter of 2013, changes in gold prices resulted in a provisional pricing mark-to-market gain of \$3 (\$2 per ounce). At March 31, 2013, Newmont had gold sales of 104,000 ounces priced at an average of \$1,598 per ounce, subject to final pricing over the next several months.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 15 INVESTMENTS

	At March 31, 2013			
	Cost/Equity	Unrealized		Fair/Equity
	Basis	Gain	Loss	Basis
Current:				
Marketable Equity Securities:				
Paladin Energy Ltd.	\$ 60	\$ —	\$ (8)	\$ 52
Other	16	9	(2)	23
	<u>\$ 76</u>	<u>\$ 9</u>	<u>\$ (10)</u>	<u>\$ 75</u>
Long-term:				
Marketable Debt Securities:				
Asset backed commercial paper	\$ 24	\$ —	\$ (1)	\$ 23
Auction rate securities	7	—	(2)	5
Corporate	13	—	—	13
	<u>44</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>41</u>
Marketable Equity Securities:				
Canadian Oil Sands Ltd.	303	332	—	635
Gabriel Resources Ltd.	76	45	—	121
Regis Resources Ltd.	166	255	—	421
Other	52	9	(5)	56
	<u>597</u>	<u>641</u>	<u>(5)</u>	<u>1,233</u>
Other investments, at cost	13	—	—	13
Investment in Affiliates:				
Euronimba Ltd.	3	—	—	3
Minera La Zanja S.R.L.	71	—	—	71
	<u>\$ 728</u>	<u>\$ 641</u>	<u>\$ (8)</u>	<u>\$ 1,361</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

	At December 31, 2012			
	Cost/Equity	Unrealized		Fair/Equity
	Basis	Gain	Loss	Basis
Current:				
Marketable Equity Securities:				
Paladin Energy Ltd.	\$ 60	\$ —	\$ (3)	\$ 57
Other	17	14	(2)	29
	<u>\$ 77</u>	<u>\$ 14</u>	<u>\$ (5)</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>
Long-term:				
Marketable Debt Securities:				
Asset backed commercial paper	\$ 25	\$ —	\$ (6)	\$ 19
Auction rate securities	7	—	(2)	5
Corporate	14	—	—	14
	<u>46</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>38</u>
Marketable Equity Securities:				
Canadian Oil Sands Trust	310	318	—	628
Gabriel Resources Ltd.	78	42	—	120
Regis Resources Ltd.	166	352	—	518
Other	51	14	—	65
	<u>605</u>	<u>726</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,331</u>
Other investments, at cost	12	—	—	12
Investment in Affiliates:				
Minera La Zanja S.R.L.	65	—	—	65
	<u>\$ 728</u>	<u>\$ 726</u>	<u>\$ (8)</u>	<u>\$ 1,446</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The following tables present the gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized	
At March 31, 2013	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
Marketable equity securities	\$ 75	\$ 15	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 75	\$ 15
Asset backed commercial paper	—	—	23	1	23	1
Auction rate securities	—	—	5	2	5	2
	<u>\$ 75</u>	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ 28</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 103</u>	<u>\$ 18</u>

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized	
At December 31, 2012	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
Marketable equity securities	\$ 79	\$ 5	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 79	\$ 5
Asset backed commercial paper	—	—	19	6	19	6
Auction rate securities	—	—	5	2	5	2
	<u>\$ 79</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$ 103</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>

While the fair values of the Company's investments in asset backed commercial paper and auction rate securities are below their respective cost, the Company views these declines as temporary. The Company intends to hold its investment in auction rate securities and asset backed commercial paper until maturity or such time that the market recovers and therefore considers these losses temporary.

NOTE 16 INVENTORIES

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
In-process	\$ 119	\$ 143
Concentrate	180	152
Precious metals	50	31
Materials, supplies and other	497	470
	<u>\$ 846</u>	<u>\$ 796</u>

NOTE 17 STOCKPILES AND ORE ON LEACH PADS

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Current:		
Stockpiles	\$ 714	\$ 602
Ore on leach pads	242	184
	<u>\$ 956</u>	<u>\$ 786</u>
Long-term:		
Stockpiles	\$ 2,582	\$ 2,514
Ore on leach pads	370	382
	<u>\$ 2,952</u>	<u>\$ 2,896</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads:		
Nevada	\$ 757	\$ 699
La Herradura	67	57
Yanacocha	540	498
Boddington	491	474
Batu Hijau	1,631	1,543
Other Australia/New Zealand	175	173
Ahafo	241	235
Akyem	6	3
	<u>\$ 3,908</u>	<u>\$ 3,682</u>

NOTE 18 OTHER ASSETS

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Other current assets:		
Refinery metal inventory and receivable	\$ 844	\$ 1,183
Prepaid assets	234	213
Derivative instruments	109	112
Restricted cash	7	12
Other	115	141
	<u>\$ 1,309</u>	<u>\$ 1,661</u>
Other long-term assets:		
Goodwill	\$ 188	\$ 188
Intangible assets	138	136
Derivative instruments	131	144
Income tax receivable	105	92
Restricted cash	93	90
Debt issuance costs	70	73
Other receivables	10	9
Other	153	140
	<u>\$ 888</u>	<u>\$ 872</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 19 DEBT

	At March 31, 2013		At December 31, 2012	
	Current	Non-Current	Current	Non-Current
2014 Convertible Senior Notes, net	—	541	—	535
2017 Convertible Senior Notes, net	—	476	—	471
2019 Senior Notes, net	—	897	—	897
2022 Senior Notes, net	—	1,490	—	1,489
2035 Senior Notes, net	—	598	—	598
2039 Senior Notes, net	—	1,087	—	1,087
2042 Senior Notes, net	—	992	—	992
Ahafo project finance facility	10	35	10	35
PTNNT revolving credit facility	—	260	—	180
Other capital leases	—	3	—	4
	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 6,379</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 6,288</u>

Scheduled minimum debt repayments are \$10 for the remainder of 2013, \$552 in 2014, \$11 in 2015, \$11 in 2016, \$742 in 2017 and \$5,063 thereafter.

NOTE 20 OTHER LIABILITIES

	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Other current liabilities:		
Refinery metal payable	\$ 844	\$ 1,183
Accrued operating costs	261	336
Accrued capital expenditures	159	172
Interest	83	74
Reclamation and remediation liabilities	80	82
Deferred income tax	65	65
Royalties	41	42
Holt property royalty	23	21
Boddington contingent consideration	11	26
Taxes other than income and mining	8	14
Other	78	69
	<u>\$ 1,653</u>	<u>\$ 2,084</u>
Other long-term liabilities:		
Holt property royalty	\$ 211	\$ 219
Income and mining taxes	70	65
Power supply agreements	46	46
Boddington contingent consideration	22	15
Other	21	27
	<u>\$ 370</u>	<u>\$ 372</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 21 CHANGES IN EQUITY

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Common stock:		
At beginning of period	\$ 787	\$ 784
Stock based awards	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
At end of period	788	785
Additional paid-in capital:		
At beginning of period	8,330	8,408
Conversion premium on convertible notes	—	(172)
Stock based awards	29	27
Sale of noncontrolling interests	<u>48</u>	<u>—</u>
At end of period	8,407	8,263
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		
At beginning of period	490	652
Other comprehensive income	<u>(61)</u>	<u>6</u>
At end of period	429	658
Retained earnings:		
At beginning of period	4,166	3,052
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	315	490
Dividends paid	<u>(211)</u>	<u>(173)</u>
At end of period	4,270	3,369
Noncontrolling interests:		
At beginning of period	3,175	2,875
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	113
Sale of noncontrolling interests, net	15	—
Other comprehensive income	<u>(1)</u>	<u>2</u>
At end of period	3,231	2,990
Total equity	<u>\$ 17,125</u>	<u>\$ 16,065</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 22 RECLASSIFICATIONS OUT OF ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Unrealized gain on marketable securities, net	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Pension and other post- retirement benefit adjustments	Changes in fair value of cash flow hedge instruments	Total
December 31, 2012	\$ 542	\$ 177	\$ (276)	\$ 47	\$490
Change in other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(55)	(11)	—	21	(45)
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income	3	—	5	(24)	(16)
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	(52)	(11)	5	(3)	(61)
March 31, 2013	<u>\$ 490</u>	<u>\$ 166</u>	<u>\$ (271)</u>	<u>\$ 44</u>	<u>\$429</u>

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Affected Line Item in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income
Unrealized gain on marketable securities:		
Impairment of marketable securities	\$ 4	Other income, net
Total before tax	4	
Tax (expense) benefit	(1)	
Net of tax	<u>\$ 3</u>	
Pension liability adjustments:		
Amortization, net	\$ 8	(1)
Total before tax	8	
Tax (expense) benefit	(3)	
Net of tax	<u>\$ 5</u>	
Gain (loss) on hedge instruments:		
Operating cash flow hedges	\$ (39)	Costs applicable to sales
Forward starting swap hedges	3	Interest expense, net
Total before tax	(36)	
Tax (expense) benefit	12	
Net of tax	<u>\$ (24)</u>	
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax	<u>\$ (16)</u>	

- (1) This accumulated other comprehensive income component is included in *General and administrative* and costs that benefit the inventory/production process. Refer to Note 2 in the Newmont Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for information on costs that benefit the inventory/production process.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 23 NET CHANGE IN OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES*Net cash provided from operations* attributable to the net change in operating assets and liabilities is composed of the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Decrease (increase) in operating assets:		
Trade and accounts receivable	\$ 115	\$ (21)
Inventories, stockpiles and ore on leach pads	(230)	(201)
EGR refinery assets	308	319
Other assets	(20)	(74)
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:		
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(144)	(32)
EGR refinery liabilities	(308)	(319)
Reclamation liabilities	(9)	(28)
	<u>\$ (288)</u>	<u>\$ (356)</u>

NOTE 24 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements are presented to satisfy disclosure requirements of Rule 3-10(e) of Regulation S-X resulting from the inclusion of Newmont USA Limited (“Newmont USA”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Newmont, as a co-registrant with Newmont on debt securities issued under a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 filed under the Securities Act of 1933 under which securities of Newmont (including debt securities guaranteed by Newmont USA) may be issued (the “Shelf Registration Statement”). In accordance with Rule 3-10(e) of Regulation S-X, Newmont USA, as the subsidiary guarantor, is 100% owned by Newmont, the guarantees are full and unconditional, and no other subsidiary of Newmont guaranteed any security issued under the Shelf Registration Statement. There are no restrictions on the ability of Newmont or Newmont USA to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan.

At December 31, 2012, errors were identified in the previously reported condensed consolidating financial statements resulting from incorrectly applying the provisions of Rule 3-10(e) of Regulation S-X related to the presentation of the financial information of its subsidiary guarantor, Newmont USA. In the previously reported information, the Company presented Newmont USA on a consolidated basis with its non-guarantor subsidiaries and under Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X Newmont USA should have presented its investment in subsidiaries based upon its proportionate share of its non-guarantor subsidiaries’ net assets (similar to the equity method of accounting). In addition, the Company corrected the Newmont Mining Corporation column for investments in subsidiaries previously presented in the Eliminations column. The tables following the revised condensed consolidating financial statements illustrate the effects of the errors, which relate to the columns for Newmont Mining Corporation, Newmont USA, Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations, on previously reported condensed consolidating financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The errors to the Newmont USA column for the incorrect presentation resulted in no change in previously reported line items for net income attributable to Newmont and stockholders’ equity. It did however have a significant impact on the previously reported cash balance, and cash flow from operations, investing and financing activities of Newmont USA as a result of the deconsolidation of its subsidiaries and the one line proportionate accounting pick up. Further, the Other Subsidiaries column changed by corresponding adjustments and to give effect to intercompany balances to include the non-guarantor subsidiaries of Newmont USA and the Eliminations column changes as a result of the above changes. In addition, the Company corrected an error in the Newmont Mining Corporation column related to stockholders’ equity and investment in subsidiaries. This was a result of a gain associated with a partial sale of a subsidiary that was previously included in the Eliminations column. The cash flow statement in the Newmont Mining Corporation column was revised to reflect earnings from subsidiaries, net of dividends received.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The Company concluded these errors were not material individually or in the aggregate to any of the previously issued financial statements taken as a whole. These errors had no impact on the consolidated financial statements of Newmont or any debt covenants and had no impact on the ability of Newmont's subsidiaries to dividend cash to Newmont. The impact of these corrections to the applicable prior year period is reflected in the revised financial information and notes below.

The Company will revise the June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012 financial statements to reflect the revisions discussed above in the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods in 2013.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated
	Newmont Mining Corporation	Newmont USA	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
Sales	\$ —	\$ 488	\$ 1,689	\$ —	\$ 2,177
Costs and expenses					
Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾	—	220	824	—	1,044
Amortization	—	40	227	—	267
Reclamation and remediation	—	2	16	—	18
Exploration	—	8	51	—	59
Advanced projects, research and development	—	12	40	—	52
General and administrative	—	30	26	—	56
Other expense, net	—	16	84	—	100
	—	328	1,268	—	1,596
Other income (expense)					
Other income, net	—	4	22	—	26
Interest income - intercompany	48	7	(2)	(53)	—
Interest expense - intercompany	(3)	—	(50)	53	—
Interest expense, net	(65)	(2)	2	—	(65)
	(20)	9	(28)	—	(39)
Income before income and mining tax and other items	(20)	169	393	—	542
Income and mining tax expense	7	(50)	(138)	—	(181)
Equity income (loss) of affiliates	328	115	43	(490)	(4)
Income from continuing operations	315	234	298	(490)	357
Net income	315	234	298	(490)	357
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(67)	25	(42)
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 315	\$ 234	\$ 231	\$ (465)	\$ 315
Comprehensive income	\$ 254	\$ 238	\$ 190	\$ (387)	\$ 295
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(66)	25	(41)
Comprehensive income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 254	\$ 238	\$ 124	\$ (362)	\$ 254

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated
	Newmont Mining Corporation	Newmont USA	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
Sales	\$ —	\$ 585	\$ 2,098	\$ —	\$ 2,683
Costs and expenses					
Costs applicable to sales ⁽¹⁾	—	252	765	—	1,017
Amortization	—	36	195	—	231
Reclamation and remediation	—	2	14	—	16
Exploration	—	19	69	—	88
Advanced projects, research and development	—	12	90	—	102
General and administrative	—	19	35	—	54
Other expense, net	—	7	113	—	120
	—	347	1,281	—	1,628
Other income (expense)					
Other income, net	2	8	23	—	33
Interest income - intercompany	40	8	(1)	(47)	—
Interest expense - intercompany	(5)	(1)	(41)	47	—
Interest expense, net	(51)	(1)	—	—	(52)
	(14)	14	(19)	—	(19)
Income before income and mining tax and other items	(14)	252	798	—	1,036
Income and mining tax expense	5	(69)	(279)	—	(343)
Equity income (loss) of affiliates	499	241	69	(828)	(19)
Income from continuing operations	490	424	588	(828)	674
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	—	(71)	—	(71)
Net income	490	424	517	(828)	603
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(148)	35	(113)
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 490	\$ 424	\$ 369	\$ (793)	\$ 490
Comprehensive income	\$ 496	\$ 425	\$ 504	\$ (814)	\$ 611
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(150)	35	(115)
Comprehensive income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 496	\$ 425	\$ 354	\$ (779)	\$ 496

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012								
	Newmont USA			Other Subsidiaries			Eliminations		
	As Previously	As Currently	As Previously	As Currently	As Previously	As Currently	As Previously	As Currently	
	Presented	Change	Presented	Presented	Change	Presented	Presented	Change	Presented
Sales	\$ 1,617	\$ (1,032)	\$ 585	\$ 1,066	\$ 1,032	\$ 2,098	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Costs and expenses									
Costs applicable to sales	563	(311)	252	465	300	765	(11)	11	—
Amortization	130	(94)	36	101	94	195	—	—	—
Reclamation and remediation	11	(9)	2	5	9	14	—	—	—
Exploration	53	(34)	19	35	34	69	—	—	—
Advanced projects, research and development	88	(76)	12	14	76	90	—	—	—
General and administrative	42	(23)	19	1	34	35	11	(11)	—
Other expense, net	47	(40)	7	73	40	113	—	—	—
	934	(587)	347	694	587	1,281	—	—	—
Other income (expense)									
Other income, net	13	(5)	8	23	—	23	—	—	—
Interest income - intercompany	2	6	8	5	(6)	(1)	(47)	—	(47)
Interest expense - intercompany	—	(1)	(1)	(42)	1	(41)	47	—	47
Interest expense, net	(5)	4	(1)	(1)	1	—	—	—	—
	10	4	14	(15)	(4)	(19)	—	—	—
Income before income and mining tax and other items	693	(441)	252	357	441	798	—	—	—
Income and mining tax expense	(146)	77	(69)	(202)	(77)	(279)	—	—	—
Equity income (loss) of affiliates	(11)	252	241	67	2	69	(574)	(254)	(828)
Income from continuing operations	536	(112)	424	222	366	588	(574)	(254)	(828)
Loss from discontinued operations	4	(4)	—	(75)	4	(71)	—	—	—
Net income	540	(116)	424	147	370	517	(574)	(254)	(828)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(116)	116	—	(32)	(116)	(148)	35	—	35
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 424	\$ —	\$ 424	\$ 115	\$ 254	\$ 369	\$ (539)	\$ (254)	\$ (793)
Comprehensive income	\$ 541	\$ (116)	\$ 425	\$ 139	\$ 365	\$ 504	\$ (565)	\$ (249)	\$ (814)
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(116)	116	—	(34)	(116)	(150)	35	—	35
Comprehensive income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 425	\$ —	\$ 425	\$ 105	\$ 249	\$ 354	\$ (530)	\$ (249)	\$ (779)

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				
	Newmont Mining Corporation	Newmont USA	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated
Operating activities:					
Net income (loss)	\$ 315	\$ 234	\$ 298	\$ (490)	\$ 357
Adjustments	(310)	(42)	234	488	370
Net change in operating assets and liabilities	4	(171)	(121)	—	(288)
Net cash provided from (used in) continuing operations	9	21	411	(2)	439
Net cash used in discontinued operations	—	—	(6)	—	(6)
Net cash provided from (used in) operations	9	21	405	(2)	433
Investing activities:					
Additions to property, plant and mine development	—	(88)	(422)	—	(510)
Acquisitions, net	—	—	(8)	—	(8)
Sale of marketable securities	—	—	1	—	1
Purchases of marketable securities	—	—	(1)	—	(1)
Proceeds from sale of other assets	—	—	25	—	25
Other	—	—	(14)	—	(14)
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(88)	(419)	—	(507)
Financing activities:					
Proceeds from debt, net	—	—	80	—	80
Net intercompany borrowings (repayments)	201	(165)	(36)	—	—
Proceeds from stock issuance, net	1	—	—	—	1
Sale of noncontrolling interests	—	—	32	—	32
Acquisition of noncontrolling interests	—	—	(6)	—	(6)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(211)	—	(2)	2	(211)
Other	—	—	(1)	—	(1)
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	(9)	(165)	67	2	(105)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	(4)	—	(4)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	(232)	49	—	(183)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	342	1,219	—	1,561
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ —	\$ 110	\$ 1,268	\$ —	\$ 1,378

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

<u>Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows</u>	<u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2012</u>				
	<u>Newmont Mining Corporation</u>	<u>Newmont USA</u>	<u>Other Subsidiaries</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated</u>
Operating activities:					
Net income (loss)	\$ 490	\$ 424	\$ 517	\$ (828)	\$ 603
Adjustments	(486)	(159)	185	826	366
Net change in operating assets and liabilities	(45)	(676)	365	—	(356)
Net cash provided from (used in) continuing operations	(41)	(411)	1,067	(2)	613
Net cash used in discontinued operations	—	—	(4)	—	(4)
Net cash provided from (used in) operations	(41)	(411)	1,063	(2)	609
Investing activities:					
Additions to property, plant and mine development	—	(167)	(529)	—	(696)
Acquisitions, net	—	—	(11)	—	(11)
Purchases of marketable securities	—	(143)	—	—	(143)
Proceeds from sale of other assets	—	—	12	—	12
Other	—	—	(17)	—	(17)
Net cash used in investing activities	—	(310)	(545)	—	(855)
Financing activities:					
Proceeds from debt, net	3,346	—	—	—	3,346
Repayment of debt	(1,799)	(105)	(3)	—	(1,907)
Payment of conversion premium on debt	(172)	—	—	—	(172)
Net intercompany borrowings (repayments)	(1,163)	1,710	(547)	—	—
Proceeds from stock issuance, net	2	—	—	—	2
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(173)	—	(2)	2	(173)
Other	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	41	1,605	(554)	2	1,094
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	4	—	4
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	884	(32)	—	852
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	10	1,750	—	1,760
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 894</u>	<u>\$ 1,718</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,612</u>

Dividends paid to common stockholders	(173)	—	(173)	—	—	—	—	(2)	(2)	—	2	2
Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2)	—	(2)	—	—	—
Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities	(458)	499	41	1,058	547	1,605	494	(1,048)	(554)	—	2	2
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	—	—	—	(2)	2	—	6	(2)	4	—	—	—
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	744	140	884	108	(140)	(32)	—	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	—	—	1,526	(1,516)	10	234	1,516	1,750	—	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,270</u>	<u>\$ (1,376)</u>	<u>\$ 894</u>	<u>\$ 342</u>	<u>\$ 1,376</u>	<u>\$ 1,718</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet	At March 31, 2013				Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated
	Newmont Mining Corporation	Newmont USA	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 110	\$ 1,268	\$ —	\$ 1,378
Trade receivables	—	19	193	—	212
Accounts receivable	—	4	549	—	553
Intercompany receivable	2,935	7,404	6,452	(16,791)	—
Investments	52	3	20	—	75
Inventories	—	126	720	—	846
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads	—	245	711	—	956
Deferred income tax assets	—	145	152	—	297
Other current assets	9	101	1,199	—	1,309
Current assets	2,996	8,157	11,264	(16,791)	5,626
Property, plant and mine development, net	—	2,220	15,969	(38)	18,151
Investments	—	4	1,357	—	1,361
Investments in subsidiaries	16,871	6,157	3,169	(26,197)	—
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads	—	418	2,534	—	2,952
Deferred income tax assets	826	170	682	(1,205)	473
Long-term intercompany receivable	4,029	49	579	(4,657)	—
Other long-term assets	50	157	681	—	888
Total assets	<u>\$ 24,772</u>	<u>\$17,332</u>	<u>\$ 36,235</u>	<u>\$ (48,888)</u>	<u>\$ 29,451</u>
Liabilities					
Debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 10	\$ —	\$ 10
Accounts payable	—	65	525	—	590
Intercompany payable	4,403	6,021	6,367	(16,791)	—
Employee-related benefits	—	104	186	—	290
Income and mining taxes	—	—	109	—	109
Other current liabilities	81	134	1,438	—	1,653
Current liabilities	4,484	6,324	8,635	(16,791)	2,652
Debt	6,081	1	297	—	6,379
Reclamation and remediation liabilities	—	147	1,318	—	1,465
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	22	2,045	(1,205)	862
Employee-related benefits	5	392	201	—	598
Long-term intercompany payable	401	—	4,294	(4,695)	—
Other long-term liabilities	—	12	358	—	370
Total liabilities	<u>10,971</u>	<u>6,898</u>	<u>17,148</u>	<u>(22,691)</u>	<u>12,326</u>
Equity					
Newmont stockholders' equity	13,801	10,434	13,953	(24,294)	13,894
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	5,134	(1,903)	3,231
Total equity	<u>13,801</u>	<u>10,434</u>	<u>19,087</u>	<u>(26,197)</u>	<u>17,125</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 24,772</u>	<u>\$17,332</u>	<u>\$ 36,235</u>	<u>\$ (48,888)</u>	<u>\$ 29,451</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet	At December 31, 2012				Newmont Mining Corporation Consolidated
	Newmont Mining Corporation	Newmont USA	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 342	\$ 1,219	\$ —	\$ 1,561
Trade receivables	—	23	260	—	283
Accounts receivable	20	10	547	—	577
Intercompany receivable	2,748	7,052	5,857	(15,657)	—
Investments	58	7	21	—	86
Inventories	—	104	692	—	796
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads	—	215	571	—	786
Deferred income tax assets	—	109	153	(67)	195
Other current assets	—	46	1,615	—	1,661
Current assets	2,826	7,908	10,935	(15,724)	5,945
Property, plant and mine development, net	—	2,187	15,860	(37)	18,010
Investments	—	6	1,440	—	1,446
Investments in subsidiaries	16,599	6,041	3,115	(25,755)	—
Stockpiles and ore on leach pads	—	401	2,495	—	2,896
Deferred income tax assets	791	146	685	(1,141)	481
Long-term intercompany receivable	3,907	45	564	(4,516)	—
Other long-term assets	52	158	662	—	872
Total assets	<u>\$ 24,175</u>	<u>\$16,892</u>	<u>\$ 35,756</u>	<u>\$ (47,173)</u>	<u>\$ 29,650</u>
Liabilities					
Debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 10	\$ —	\$ 10
Accounts payable	—	78	579	—	657
Intercompany payable	3,969	5,743	5,945	(15,657)	—
Employee-related benefits	—	149	190	—	339
Income and mining taxes	—	16	35	—	51
Other current liabilities	71	147	1,866	—	2,084
Current liabilities	4,040	6,133	8,625	(15,657)	3,141
Debt	6,069	1	218	—	6,288
Reclamation and remediation liabilities	—	147	1,310	—	1,457
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	20	2,044	(1,206)	858
Employee-related benefits	5	384	197	—	586
Long-term intercompany payable	381	—	4,172	(4,553)	—
Other long-term liabilities	—	11	361	—	372
Total liabilities	<u>10,495</u>	<u>6,696</u>	<u>16,927</u>	<u>(21,416)</u>	<u>12,702</u>
Equity					
Newmont stockholders' equity	13,680	10,196	13,782	(23,885)	13,773
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	5,047	(1,872)	3,175
Total equity	<u>13,680</u>	<u>10,196</u>	<u>18,829</u>	<u>(25,757)</u>	<u>16,948</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 24,175</u>	<u>\$16,892</u>	<u>\$ 35,756</u>	<u>\$ (47,173)</u>	<u>\$ 29,650</u>

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NOTE 25 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General

The Company follows ASC guidance in accounting for loss contingencies. Accordingly, estimated losses from contingencies are accrued by a charge to income when information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that a liability could be incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Legal expenses associated with the contingency are expensed as incurred. If a loss contingency is not probable or reasonably estimable, disclosure of the contingency and estimated range of loss, if determinable, is made in the financial statements when it is at least reasonably possible that a material loss could be incurred.

Operating Segments

The Company's operating segments are identified in Note 3. Except as noted in this paragraph, all of the Company's commitments and contingencies specifically described in this Note 25 relate to the Corporate and Other reportable segment. The Hope Bay Mining Ltd. matters relate to the North America reportable segment. The Yanacocha matters relate to the South America reportable segment. The PTNNT matters relate to the Indonesia reportable segment.

Environmental Matters

The Company's mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and are generally becoming more restrictive. The Company conducts its operations so as to protect the public health and environment and believes its operations are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations in all material respects. The Company has made, and expects to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations, but cannot predict the full amount of such future expenditures.

Estimated future reclamation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$1,350 and \$1,341, respectively, were accrued for reclamation costs relating to currently or recently producing mineral properties in accordance with asset retirement obligation guidance. The current portions of \$61 and \$62 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, are included in *Other current liabilities*.

In addition, the Company is involved in several matters concerning environmental obligations associated with former mining activities. Generally, these matters concern developing and implementing remediation plans at the various sites involved. The Company believes that the related environmental obligations associated with these sites are similar in nature with respect to the development of remediation plans, their risk profile and the compliance required to meet general environmental standards. Based upon the Company's best estimate of its liability for these matters, \$195 and \$198 were accrued for such obligations at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. These amounts are included in *Other current liabilities* and *Reclamation and remediation liabilities*. Depending upon the ultimate resolution of these matters, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the liability for these matters could be as much as 115% greater or 5% lower than the amount accrued at March 31, 2013. The amounts accrued are reviewed periodically based upon facts and circumstances available at the time. Changes in estimates are recorded in *Reclamation and remediation* in the period estimates are revised.

Details about certain of the more significant matters involved are discussed below.

Newmont Mining Corporation

Empire Mine. On July 19, 2012, the California Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") served Newmont, New Verde Mines LLC, Newmont North America Exploration Limited, Newmont Realty Company and Newmont USA Limited with a complaint for damages and declaratory relief under CERCLA, specifically for costs associated with water treatment at the Empire Mine State Park and for a declaration that Newmont is liable for past and future response costs, as well as indemnification to Parks. In 1975 Parks purchased the Empire Mine site in Grass Valley, California from Newmont to create a historic state park featuring the mining of the Empire Mine. Parks has operated the Empire Mine Site for over 35 years. Newmont intends to vigorously defend this lawsuit. Newmont cannot reasonably predict the outcome of this matter.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Newmont USA Limited - 100% Newmont Owned

Ross-Adams Mine Site. By letter dated June 5, 2007, the U.S. Forest Service notified Newmont that it had expended approximately \$0.3 in response costs to address environmental conditions at the Ross-Adams mine in Prince of Wales, Alaska, and requested Newmont USA Limited pay those costs and perform an Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (“EE/CA”) to assess what future response activities might need to be completed at the site. Newmont intends to vigorously defend any formal claims by the EPA. Newmont has agreed to perform the EE/CA. Newmont cannot reasonably predict the likelihood or outcome of any future action against it arising from this matter.

Hope Bay Mining Ltd. - 100% Newmont Owned

In July 2011 Environment Canada Enforcement Officers discovered a release of drill water containing calcium chloride on Hope Bay Mining Ltd. (“HBML”) property in Nunavut, Canada. Orbit Garant Drilling Inc. (“Orbit”) operated a diamond drill rig on the HBML property. On February 13, 2013, HBML received service of a summons and charges from a Judge for Nunavut alleging violation of the *Fisheries Act* relating to the release of drill water and alleged failure to report a discharge. Orbit operated the drill at issue in the summons. Total potential fines and penalties for proven charges of this nature could be up to \$1. Newmont cannot reasonably predict the outcome of this matter.

Other Legal Matters

Minera Yanacocha S.R.L. (“Yanacocha”) - 51.35% Newmont Owned

Choropampa. In June 2000, a transport contractor of Yanacocha spilled approximately 151 kilograms of elemental mercury near the town of Choropampa, Peru, which is located 53 miles (85 kilometers) southwest of the Yanacocha mine. Elemental mercury is not used in Yanacocha’s operations but is a by-product of gold mining and was sold to a Lima firm for use in medical instruments and industrial applications. A comprehensive health and environmental remediation program was undertaken by Yanacocha in response to the incident. In August 2000, Yanacocha paid under protest a fine of 1,740,000 Peruvian soles (approximately \$0.5) to the Peruvian government. Yanacocha has entered into settlement agreements with a number of individuals impacted by the incident. As compensation for the disruption and inconvenience caused by the incident, Yanacocha entered into agreements with and provided a variety of public works in the three communities impacted by this incident. Yanacocha cannot predict the likelihood of additional expenditures related to this matter.

Additional lawsuits relating to the Choropampa incident were filed against Yanacocha in the local courts of Cajamarca, Peru, in May 2002 by over 900 Peruvian citizens. A significant number of the plaintiffs in these lawsuits entered into settlement agreements with Yanacocha prior to filing such claims. In April 2008, the Peruvian Supreme Court upheld the validity of these settlement agreements, which the Company expects to result in the dismissal of all claims brought by previously settled plaintiffs. Yanacocha has also entered into settlement agreements with approximately 350 additional plaintiffs. The claims asserted by approximately 200 plaintiffs remain. In 2011, Yanacocha was served with 23 complaints alleging grounds to nullify the settlements entered into between Yanacocha and the plaintiffs. Yanacocha has answered the complaints and the court has dismissed several of the matters and the plaintiffs have filed appeals. All appeals were referred to the Civil Court of Cajamarca, which affirmed the decisions of the lower court judge. The plaintiffs have filed appeals of such orders before the Supreme Court. Yanacocha will continue to vigorously defend its position. Neither the Company nor Yanacocha can reasonably estimate the ultimate loss relating to such claims.

Administrative Actions. The Peruvian government agency responsible for environmental evaluation and inspection, Organismo Evaluacion y Fiscalizacion Ambiental (“OEFA”), conducts bi-annual reviews of the Yanacocha site. In mid-2011 and in March 2013, OEFA issued notices of alleged violations of OEFA standards to Yanacocha relating to past inspections. In April 2013, OEFA issued a finding and penalty with respect to three 2011 allegations in the amount of \$.1. Total fines for all outstanding OEFA alleged violations could range from \$.1 to \$17.4. Yanacocha is responding to all notices of alleged violations, but cannot predict the outcome of the agency allegations.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

PT Newmont Nusa Tenggara (“PTNNT”) – 31.5% Newmont Owned

Under the Batu Hijau Contract of Work, beginning in 2006 and continuing through 2010, a portion of PTNNT’s shares were required to be offered for sale, first, to the Indonesian government or, second, to Indonesian nationals, equal to the difference between the following percentages and the percentage of shares already owned by the Indonesian government or Indonesian nationals (if such number is positive): 23% by March 31, 2006; 30% by March 31, 2007; 37% by March 31, 2008; 44% by March 31, 2009; and 51% by March 31, 2010. As PT Pukuafu Indah (“PTPI”), an Indonesian national, owned a 20% interest in PTNNT at all relevant times, in 2006, a 3% interest was required to be offered for sale and, in each of 2007 through 2010, an additional 7% interest was required to be offered (for an aggregate 31% interest). The price at which such interests were offered for sale to the Indonesian parties was the fair market value of such interest considering PTNNT as a going concern, as agreed with the Indonesian government. Following certain disputes and an arbitration with the Indonesian government, in November and December 2009, sale agreements were concluded pursuant to which the 2006, 2007 and 2008 shares were sold to PT Multi Daerah Bersaing (“PTMDB”), the nominee of the local governments, and the 2009 shares were sold to PTMDB in February 2010, resulting in PTMDB owning a 24% interest in PTNNT.

On December 17, 2010, the Ministry of Energy & Mineral Resources, acting on behalf of the Indonesian government, accepted the offer to acquire the final 7% interest in PTNNT. Subsequently, the Indonesian government designated Pusat Investasi Pemerintah (“PIP”), an agency of the Ministry of Finance, as the entity that will buy the final stake. On May 6, 2011, PIP and the foreign shareholders entered into a definitive agreement for the sale and purchase of the final 7% divestiture stake, subject to receipt of approvals from certain Indonesian government ministries. Subsequent to signing the agreement, a disagreement arose between the Ministry of Finance and the Indonesian parliament in regard to whether parliamentary approval was needed to allow PIP to make the share purchase. In July 2012, the Constitutional Court ruled that parliament approval is required for PIP to use state funds to purchase the shares, which approval has not yet been obtained. Further disputes may arise in regard to the divestiture of the 2010 shares.

Effective January 1, 2011, the local government in the region where the Batu Hijau mine is located commenced the enforcement of local regulations that purport to require PTNNT to pay additional taxes based on revenue and the value of PTNNT’s contracts. In addition, the regulations purport to require PTNNT to obtain certain export-related documents from the regional government for purposes of shipping copper concentrate. PTNNT is required to and has obtained all export related-documents in compliance with the laws and regulations of the central government. PTNNT believes that the new regional regulations are not enforceable as they expressly contradict higher level Indonesian laws that set out the permissible taxes that can be imposed by a regional government and all effective export requirements. PTNNT’s position is supported by Indonesia’s Ministry of Energy & Mineral Resources, Ministry of Trade, and the provincial government. To date, PTNNT has not been forced to comply with these new contradictory regional regulations. On February 4, 2011, PTNNT filed legal proceedings seeking to have the regulations declared null and void because they conflict with the laws of Indonesia. Subsequently, the Ministry of Home Affairs issued a decree declaring these local regulations to be contrary to Indonesian law and thus unenforceable. Further disputes with the local government could arise in relation to these regulations. PTNNT intends to vigorously defend its position in this dispute.

Additionally, in September 2011, WALHI brought an administrative law claim against Indonesia’s Ministry of Environment to challenge the May 2011 renewal of PTNNT’s submarine tailings permit. PTNNT and the regional government of KSB (“KSB”) filed separate applications for intervention into the proceedings, both of which were accepted by the Administrative Court. KSB intervened on the side of WALHI, and PTNNT joined on the side of the Ministry of Environment. On April 3, 2012, the Administrative Court ruled in favor of the Ministry of Environment and PTNNT, finding that the Ministry of Environment properly renewed the permit in accordance with Indonesian law and regulations. WALHI appealed the verdict. On October 2, 2012, the High Administrative Law Court rejected WALHI’s appeal, after which WALHI filed a notice to appeal the case to the Supreme Court. PTNNT will continue to defend its submarine tailings permit and is confident that the Ministry of Environment acted properly in renewing PTNNT’s permit.

NWG Investments Inc. v. Fronteer Gold Inc.

In April 2011, Newmont acquired Fronteer Gold Inc. (“Fronteer”).

Fronteer acquired NewWest Gold Corporation (“NewWest Gold”) in September 2007. At the time of that acquisition, NWG Investments Inc. (“NWG”) owned approximately 86% of NewWest Gold and an individual named Jacob Safra owned or controlled 100% of NWG. Prior to its acquisition of NewWest Gold, Fronteer entered into a June 2007 lock-up agreement with NWG providing that, among other things, NWG would support Fronteer’s acquisition of NewWest Gold. At that time, Fronteer owned approximately 42% of Aurora Energy Resources Inc. (“Aurora”), which, among other things, had a uranium exploration project in Labrador, Canada.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)

(dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

NWG contends that, during the negotiations leading up to the lock-up agreement, Fronteer represented to NWG that Aurora would commence uranium mining in Labrador by 2013, that this was a firm date, that Fronteer was not aware of any obstacle to doing so, that Aurora faced no serious environmental issues in Labrador and that Aurora's competitors faced greater delays in commencing uranium mining. NWG further contends that it entered into the lock-up agreement and agreed to support Fronteer's acquisition of NewWest Gold in reliance upon these purported representations. On October 11, 2007, less than three weeks after the Fronteer-NewWest Gold transaction closed, a member of the Nunatsiavut Assembly introduced a motion calling for the adoption of a moratorium on uranium mining in Labrador. On April 8, 2008, the Nunatsiavut Assembly adopted a three-year moratorium on uranium mining in Labrador. NWG contends that Fronteer was aware during the negotiations of the NWG/Fronteer lock-up agreement that the Nunatsiavut Assembly planned on adopting this moratorium and that its adoption would preclude Aurora from commencing uranium mining by 2013, but Fronteer nonetheless fraudulently induced NWG to enter into the lock-up agreement.

On September 24, 2012, NWG served a summons and complaint on NMC, and then amended the complaint to add Newmont Canada Holdings ULC as a defendant. The complaint also names Fronteer Gold Inc and Mark O'Dea as defendants. The complaint seeks rescission of the merger between Fronteer and NewWest Gold and \$750 in damages. Newmont intends to defend this matter, but cannot reasonably predict the outcome.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Tax contingencies are provided for in accordance with ASC income tax guidance (see Note 7).

The Company has minimum royalty obligations on one of its producing mines in Nevada for the life of the mine. Amounts paid as a minimum royalty (where production royalties are less than the minimum obligation) in any year are recoverable in future years when the minimum royalty obligation is exceeded. Although the minimum royalty requirement may not be met in a particular year, the Company expects that over the mine life, gold production will be sufficient to meet the minimum royalty requirements. Minimum royalty payments payable are \$60 in 2013, \$38 in 2014 through 2017 and \$317 thereafter.

As part of its ongoing business and operations, the Company and its affiliates are required to provide surety bonds, bank letters of credit and bank guarantees as financial support for various purposes, including environmental reclamation, exploration permitting, workers compensation programs and other general corporate purposes. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were \$1,835 and \$1,755, respectively, of outstanding letters of credit, surety bonds and bank guarantees. The surety bonds, letters of credit and bank guarantees reflect fair value as a condition of their underlying purpose and are subject to fees competitively determined in the market place. The obligations associated with these instruments are generally related to performance requirements that the Company addresses through its ongoing operations. As the specific requirements are met, the beneficiary of the associated instrument cancels and/or returns the instrument to the issuing entity. Certain of these instruments are associated with operating sites with long-lived assets and will remain outstanding until closure. Generally, bonding requirements associated with environmental regulation are becoming more restrictive. However, the Company believes it is in compliance with all applicable bonding obligations and will be able to satisfy future bonding requirements through existing or alternative means, as they arise.

Newmont is from time to time involved in various legal proceedings related to its business. Except in the above described proceedings, management does not believe that adverse decisions in any pending or threatened proceeding or that amounts that may be required to be paid by reason thereof will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION (dollars in millions, except per share, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The following discussion provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Newmont Mining Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, "Newmont," the "Company," "our" and "we"). We use certain non-GAAP financial performance measures in our MD&A. For a detailed description of each of the non-GAAP financial measures used in this MD&A, please see the discussion under "Non-GAAP Financial Performance Measures" beginning on page 53. References to "A\$" refer to Australian currency, "C\$" to Canadian currency and "NZ\$" to New Zealand currency.

This item should be read in conjunction with our interim unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in this quarterly report. Additionally, the following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and the consolidated financial statements included in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed February 22, 2013.

Overview

Newmont is one of the world's largest gold producers and is the only gold company included in the S&P 500 Index and Fortune 500. We have been included in the Dow Jones Sustainability Index-World for six consecutive years and have adopted the World Gold Council's Conflict-Free Gold Policy. We are also engaged in the exploration for and acquisition of gold and gold/copper properties. We have significant operations and/or assets in the United States, Australia, Peru, Indonesia, Ghana, Mexico and New Zealand.

Our vision is to be the most valued and respected mining company through industry leading performance. First quarter 2013 highlights are included below and discussed further in *Results of Consolidated Operations*.

Operating highlights

- Sales of \$2,177;
- Average realized gold and copper price of \$1,631 per ounce and \$3.13 per pound;
- Consolidated gold production of 1,281,000 ounces (1,165,000 attributable ounces) at *Costs applicable to sales* of \$758 per ounce;
- Consolidated copper production of 58 million pounds (38 million attributable pounds) at *Costs applicable to sales* of \$2.19 per pound; and
- Gold and copper operating margin (see "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on page 53) of \$873 per ounce and \$0.94 per pound, respectively.

Advancing our project pipeline.

We remain focused on the progression of our next generation of mining projects. Approximately 40% of our 2013 capital expenditures will be allocated as development capital, including the Akyem project, the Phoenix Copper Leach project, the Ahafo Mill Expansion, the Conga project and other expansion projects in Nevada and at La Herradura, with the remaining 60% expected to be spent on sustaining capital. Additional capital investment is also possible at the Merian project in Suriname in 2013 pending the outcome of further dialogue with the government and project economic evaluation. We manage our wider project portfolio to maintain flexibility to address the development risks associated with our projects including permitting, local community and government support, engineering and procurement availability, technical issues, escalating costs and other associated risks that could adversely impact the timing and costs of certain opportunities.

Our opportunities in the Execution phase of development comprise a significant part of the Company's growth strategy and include Akyem in Ghana, Phoenix Copper Leach in Nevada and Conga in Peru, as described further below.

Akyem, Ghana. Construction activities continue to progress on schedule and on budget. First production is expected in late 2013. Gold production is expected to be 350,000 to 450,000 ounces per year at *Costs applicable to sales* of \$500 to \$650 per ounce for the first five years of the mine's operating life of approximately 16 years (based on current gold reserves). Capital costs are estimated at \$950 to \$1,050, of which \$747 have been incurred at March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012, we reported 7.4 million ounces of gold reserves at Akyem.

Table of Contents

Phoenix Copper Leach, Nevada . The Board of Directors authorized full funding for the Phoenix Copper Leach project in April 2012. Copper production is expected to be approximately 20 million pounds per year for the first five years of production at *Costs applicable to sales* of \$1.75 to \$2.00 per pound, which are expected to be reported under the by-product method of accounting. First production is expected in late 2013. Capital costs are expected to be \$170 to \$215, of which \$106 have been incurred at March 31, 2013.

Conga, Peru . Due to local political and community protests, construction and development activities at the Conga project were largely suspended in November 2011. The results of the Peruvian Central Government initiated Environmental Impact Assessment (“EIA”) independent review were announced on April 20, 2012 and confirmed our initial EIA met Peruvian and International standards. The review made recommendations to provide additional water capacity and social funds, which we have largely accepted. We announced our decision to move the project forward on a “water first” approach on June 22, 2012. Spending on the project in 2013 is anticipated to be approximately \$300, focusing on building water reservoirs, completing the last engineering activities, and accepting delivery of the main equipment purchases. Total property, plant and mine development was \$1,519 at March 31, 2013. At December 31, 2012 we reported 6.5 million attributable ounces of gold reserves and 1,690 million attributable pounds of copper reserves at Conga. Construction of Conga and the implementation of the independent EIA review recommendations will continue provided it can be done in a safe manner with risk-adjusted returns that justify future investment. Should we be unable to continue with the current development plan at Conga, we may reprioritize and reallocate capital to development alternatives which may result in a potential accounting impairment.

We continue to advance earlier stage development assets through our project pipeline in our five operating regions. The exploration, construction and operation of these earlier stage development assets may require significant funding if they go into execution. Two of these projects are described further below:

Merian, Suriname . Feasibility study work for the Merian project began in the third quarter of 2011 and was completed in 2012, increasing our equity interest in the joint venture with Alcoa to 80%. We continue to finalize the mineral agreement with the government of Suriname. Once the mineral agreement is approved by the government of Suriname, Newmont’s Board of Directors will consider approving the investment to develop the project. The development of the Merian project will allow Newmont to pursue a new district with upside potential and the opportunity to grow and extend the operating life of the South American region. First production is targeted for late 2015 or early 2016 with initial estimated gold production (on a 100% basis) of 350,000 to 450,000 ounces per year. At December 31, 2012, we reported 2.9 million attributable ounces of gold reserves at Merian.

Long Canyon, Nevada . During 2012, the project entered the selection and confirmation stage as we further develop our understanding of Long Canyon and the district. We have submitted the Plan-of-Operations to the Bureau of Land Management in support of our Environmental Impact Statement (“EIS”). We continue to make progress on the exploration program. A total of 85 kilometers of drilling was completed in 2012 and we anticipate an additional 65 kilometers to be drilled in 2013. Our intention is to bring the project into production in 2017.

Table of Contents

Selected Financial and Operating Results

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Sales	\$ 2,177	\$ 2,683
Income from continuing operations	\$ 357	\$ 674
Net income	\$ 357	\$ 603
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 315	\$ 490
Per common share, basic:		
Income from continuing operations attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 0.63	\$ 1.13
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.99
Adjusted net income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 354	\$ 578
Adjusted net income per share ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.17
Consolidated gold ounces (thousands)		
Produced	1,281	1,479
Sold ⁽²⁾	1,252	1,455
Consolidated copper pounds (millions)		
Produced	58	57
Sold	43	58
Average price realized, net:		
Gold (per ounce)	\$ 1,631	\$ 1,684
Copper (per pound)	\$ 3.13	\$ 4.01
Consolidated costs applicable to sales: ⁽³⁾		
Gold (per ounce)	\$ 758	\$ 620
Copper (per pound)	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.98
Attributable costs applicable to sales: ⁽¹⁾		
Gold (per ounce)	\$ 781	\$ 637
Copper (per pound)	\$ 2.24	\$ 1.97
Operating margin ⁽¹⁾		
Gold (per ounce)	\$ 873	\$ 1,064
Copper (per pound)	\$ 0.94	\$ 2.03

⁽¹⁾ See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” on page 53.

⁽²⁾ Excludes development ounces.

⁽³⁾ Excludes *Amortization and Reclamation and remediation*.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Financial Results

Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders for the first quarter of 2013 was \$315, or \$0.63 per share, compared to \$490, or \$0.99 per share, for the first quarter of 2012. Results for the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 were impacted by lower gold and copper production, lower average realized gold and copper prices, and higher gold and copper unit costs, partially offset by lower exploration, lower advanced project costs and lower Hope Bay care and maintenance costs.

Gold *Sales* decreased 17% in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to decreased sales volume and a lower average realized gold price. The following analysis summarizes the changes in consolidated gold sales:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Consolidated gold sales:		
Gross before provisional pricing	\$ 2,043	\$ 2,459
Provisional pricing mark-to-market	3	6
Gross after provisional pricing	2,046	2,465
Treatment and refining charges	(4)	(15)
Net	<u>\$ 2,042</u>	<u>\$ 2,450</u>
Consolidated gold ounces sold (thousands):	1,252	1,455
Average realized gold price (per ounce):		
Gross before provisional pricing	\$ 1,632	\$ 1,690
Provisional pricing mark-to-market	2	4
Gross after provisional pricing	1,634	1,694
Treatment and refining charges	(3)	(10)
Net	<u>\$ 1,631</u>	<u>\$ 1,684</u>

The change in consolidated gold sales is due to:

	Three Months Ended
	March 31, 2013 vs. 2012
Change in consolidated ounces sold	\$ (344)
Change in average realized gold price	(75)
Change in treatment and refining charges	11
	<u>\$ (408)</u>

Table of Contents

Copper Sales decreased 42% in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to decreased sales volume and a lower average realized copper price. The following analysis summarizes the changes in consolidated copper sales:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Consolidated copper sales:		
Gross before provisional pricing	\$ 155	\$ 219
Provisional pricing mark-to-market	(9)	31
Gross after provisional pricing	146	250
Treatment and refining charges	(11)	(17)
Net	\$ 135	\$ 233
Consolidated copper pounds sold (millions):	43	58
Average realized copper price (per pound):		
Gross before provisional pricing	\$ 3.60	\$ 3.77
Provisional pricing mark-to-market	(0.21)	0.53
Gross after provisional pricing	3.39	4.30
Treatment and refining charges	(0.26)	(0.29)
Net	\$ 3.13	\$ 4.01

The change in consolidated copper sales is due to:

	Three Months Ended
	March 31, 2013 vs. 2012
Change in consolidated pounds sold	\$ (64)
Change in average realized copper price	(40)
Change in treatment and refining charges	6
	\$ (98)

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of consolidated gold and copper sales, net:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Gold		
North America:		
Nevada	\$ 570	\$ 723
La Herradura	90	93
	<u>660</u>	<u>816</u>
South America:		
Yanacocha	455	594
Australia/New Zealand:		
Boddington	329	298
Other Australia/New Zealand	392	427
	<u>721</u>	<u>725</u>
Indonesia:		
Batu Hijau	11	34
Africa:		
Ahafo	195	281
	<u>2,042</u>	<u>2,450</u>
Copper		
Australia/New Zealand:		
Boddington	65	61
Indonesia:		
Batu Hijau	70	172
	<u>135</u>	<u>233</u>
	<u>\$ 2,177</u>	<u>\$ 2,683</u>

Costs applicable to sales for gold increased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to higher mining and milling costs and lower by-product credits. *Costs applicable to sales* for copper was also impacted in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 by higher mining and milling costs and higher co-product allocation of costs to copper at Boddington. For a complete discussion regarding variations in operations, see *Results of Consolidated Operations* below.

Amortization increased in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due largely to higher mine development costs and asset retirement costs at Yanacocha as well as higher production from Boddington. We expect *Amortization* expense to be approximately \$1,050 to \$1,100 in 2013.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of *Costs applicable to sales* and *Amortization* by operation:

	Costs Applicable to Sales		Amortization	
	Three Months Ended March 31,		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Gold				
North America:				
Nevada	\$ 272	\$ 267	\$ 59	\$ 53
La Herradura	40	32	6	5
	<u>312</u>	<u>299</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>58</u>
South America:				
Yanacocha	158	161	70	50
Australia/New Zealand:				
Boddington	174	137	42	32
Other Australia/New Zealand	232	190	46	37
	<u>406</u>	<u>327</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>69</u>
Indonesia:				
Batu Hijau	7	19	2	3
Africa:				
Ahafo	66	96	17	24
	<u>949</u>	<u>902</u>	<u>242</u>	<u>204</u>
Copper				
Australia/New Zealand:				
Boddington	48	30	10	6
Indonesia:				
Batu Hijau	47	85	9	16
	<u>95</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>22</u>
Other				
Corporate and other	—	—	6	5
	<u>\$ 1,044</u>	<u>\$ 1,017</u>	<u>\$ 267</u>	<u>\$ 231</u>

Exploration expense decreased \$29, or 33% in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to a decrease in both brownfields and greenfields expenditures in all our regions. Exploration activities in a number of countries including Solomon Islands, Papua New Guinea and Cote d'Ivoire have been discontinued. We expect *Exploration* expense to be approximately \$250 to \$300 in 2013, focused primarily on our brownfields programs at Carlin, Long Canyon and Phoenix in Nevada, La Herradura in Mexico, Jundee and Tanami in Australia and Ahafo in Africa, whereas in the greenfields programs the focus will be on Nevada, Suriname-French Guiana, Andes and West Africa.

Table of Contents

Advanced projects, research and development in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012 is summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
North America		
Nevada	\$ 9	\$ 6
South America		
Yanacocha	8	6
Conga	1	26
Other South America	—	15
Australia/New Zealand		
Boddington	1	2
Other Australia/New Zealand	1	2
Indonesia		
Batu Hijau	3	6
Africa		
Ahafo	5	4
Akyem	3	2
Other Africa	—	1
Corporate and Other		
Technical and project services	16	27
Corporate	5	5
	<u>\$ 52</u>	<u>\$ 102</u>

We expect *Advanced projects, research and development* expenses to be approximately \$350 to \$400 in 2013, focused primarily on Long Canyon and underground exploration drifts in Nevada and the start-up of Akyem in Africa.

General and administrative expenses increased slightly in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to increased salaries and benefits. We expect 2013 *General and administrative* expenses to be approximately \$200 to \$250.

Other expense, net was \$100 and \$120 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease is mainly due to lower expenses for Hope Bay care and maintenance, Community development, and Regional administration, partially offset by restructuring charges and non-cash expense related to the TMAC transaction.

Other income, net was \$26 and \$33 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease is primarily related to the reduction of allowance for loan receivable in 2012, lower income from developing projects and gain on asset sales, partially offset by lower losses for marketable securities impairment and foreign currency exchange.

Interest expense, net increased by \$13 in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 due to the issuance of the 2022 and 2042 Senior Notes during the first quarter of 2012, partially offset by higher capitalized interest in 2013. We expect 2013 *Interest expense, net* to be approximately \$200 to \$250.

Income and mining tax expense during the first quarter of 2013 was \$181 resulting in an effective tax rate of 33%. *Income and mining tax expense* during the first quarter of 2012 was \$343 for an effective tax rate of 33%. The effective tax rates in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012 are different from the United States statutory rate of 35% primarily due to valuation allowances, mining tax, U.S. percentage depletion and non-cash expense related to the TMAC transaction. For a complete discussion of the factors that influence our effective tax rate, see *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in Newmont's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed February 22, 2013. We expect the 2013 full year tax rate to be approximately 30% to 32%, assuming an average gold price of \$1,500 per ounce for the remainder of the year.

Table of Contents

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased to \$42 in the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$113 in the first quarter of 2012 as a result of decreased earnings at Minera Yanacocha and Batu Hijau as well as the TMAC transaction in March 2013.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations includes a reduction in the Holt property royalty liability. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company recorded an additional \$ 71 charge, net of tax benefits of \$4, to reflect an increase in future expected production at the Holt property. Due to the nature of the sliding scale royalty calculation, changes in expected production and the gold price have a significant impact on the fair value of the liability.

Results of Consolidated Operations

	Gold or Copper Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013 (ounces in thousands)	2012 (ounces in thousands)	2013 (\$ per ounce)	2012 (\$ per ounce)	2013 (\$ per ounce)	2012 (\$ per ounce)
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Gold						
North America	436	489	\$ 767	\$ 613	\$ 161	\$ 118
South America	285	366	568	458	251	143
Australia/New Zealand	421	427	922	767	196	158
Indonesia	14	22	993	913	209	168
Africa	125	175	555	568	144	143
Total/Weighted-Average	1,281	1,479	\$ 758	\$ 620	\$ 197	\$ 139
Attributable to Newmont ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	1,165	1,307	\$ 781	\$ 637		
Net Attributable to Newmont ⁽³⁾			\$ 755	\$ 580		
Copper						
	(pounds in millions)		(\$ per pound)		(\$ per pound)	
Australia/New Zealand	18	14	2.35	\$ 1.94	\$0.51	\$0.41
Indonesia	40	43	2.05	2.00	0.40	0.36
Total/Weighted-Average	58	57	2.19	1.98	0.45	0.38
Attributable to Newmont ⁽³⁾	38	35	\$ 2.24	\$ 1.97		

(1) Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

(2) Includes 15,000 and 13,000 attributable ounces from our interest in La Zanja in 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 14,000 and 4,000 attributable ounces in 2013 and 2012 from our interest in Duketon.

(3) Attributable and Net Attributable *Costs applicable to sales* are non-GAAP financial measures. See page 53 for a reconciliation.

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Consolidated gold ounces produced decreased 13% due to a build of in-process inventory as well as lower mill grade at Ahafo, lower mill grade at Yanacocha, and lower grade and recovery at Carlin and lower grade and throughput at Twin Creeks. Consolidated copper pounds produced increased 2% due to higher copper grade at Boddington largely offset by processing lower grade stockpiles at Batu Hijau.

Costs applicable to sales per consolidated gold ounce sold increased 22% due to lower production from Nevada, Yanacocha, Other Australia/New Zealand, Indonesia, and Africa. *Costs applicable to sales* per consolidated copper pound sold increased 11% due to lower production at Batu Hijau and higher co-product costs allocated to copper at Boddington.

Amortization per consolidated gold ounce sold increased 42% due to higher mine development costs and higher asset retirement costs at Yanacocha and lower production. *Amortization* per consolidated copper pound sold increased 18% due to timing of copper sales at Batu Hijau and higher co-product allocation to copper at Boddington.

We continue to expect 2013 gold production of approximately 4.8 to 5.1 million attributable ounces at consolidated *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$675 to \$750 and 2013 copper production of approximately 150 to 170 million attributable pounds at consolidated *Costs applicable to sales* per pound of approximately \$2.25 to \$2.50.

Table of Contents

North America Operations

	Gold Ounces Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		(\$ per ounce)		(\$ per ounce)	
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Nevada	381	435	\$ 774	\$ 617	\$169	\$121
La Herradura ⁽²⁾	55	54	717	581	115	96
Total/Weighted-Average	436	489	\$ 767	\$ 613	\$161	\$118
Attributable to Newmont	436	489				

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization and Reclamation and remediation* .

⁽²⁾ Our proportionate 44% share.

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Nevada, USA. Gold ounces produced decreased 12% due to lower grade and recovery at Mill 5 and Mill 6 as well as lower tons and grade at the Twin Creeks autoclave, partially offset by new production at Emigrant and higher throughput at Phoenix. *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce increased 25% due to lower ounces sold and lower capitalized mine development activities in 2013 compared to 2012. *Amortization* per ounce increased 40% due to lower ounces sold.

La Herradura, Mexico . Gold ounces produced increased 2% due to higher leach placement and grade. *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce increased 23% due to mining additional waste tons compared to 2012.

We continue to expect gold production in North America of approximately 2.0 to 2.1 million ounces at *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$600 to \$650 in 2013.

South America Operations

	Gold Ounces Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		(\$ per ounce)		(\$ per ounce)	
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Yanacocha	285	366	\$ 568	\$ 458	\$251	\$143
Attributable to Newmont:						
Yanacocha (51.35%)	147	188				
La Zanja (46.94%)	15	13				
	162	201				

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization and Reclamation and remediation* .

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Yanacocha, Peru . Gold ounces produced decreased 22% due to lower mill grade and lower leach ore placement from Chaquicocha. *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce increased 24% due to lower production and lower silver by-product credits. *Amortization* per ounce increased 76% mainly due to higher mine development costs and higher asset retirement costs.

We continue to expect attributable gold production in South America of approximately 550,000 to 600,000 ounces at *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$600 to \$650 in 2013.

Table of Contents

Australia/New Zealand Operations

	Gold or Copper Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(ounces in thousands)		(\$ per ounce)		(\$ per ounce)	
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Gold						
Boddington	177	162	\$ 873	\$ 782	\$ 210	\$ 181
Other Australia/New Zealand	244	265	962	757	185	141
Total/Weighted-Average	421	427	\$ 922	\$ 767	\$ 196	\$ 158
Attributable to Newmont ⁽²⁾	435	431				
Copper	(pounds in millions)		(\$ per pound)		(\$ per pound)	
Boddington	18	14	\$ 2.35	\$ 1.94	\$0.51	\$0.41

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

⁽²⁾ Includes 14,000 and 4,000 attributable ounces in the first quarter 2013 and 2012, respectively, from our interest in Duketon.

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Boddington, Australia. Gold ounces produced increased 9% and copper pounds produced increased 29% due to higher mill grade. *Costs applicable to sales* increased 12% per ounce and increased 21% per pound from the prior year quarter due to a higher strip ratio, higher mill maintenance costs, and the impact of the carbon tax which took effect in July 2012. Copper *costs applicable to sales* was also affected by the higher co-product cost allocated to copper compared to the prior year quarter.

Other Australia/New Zealand. Gold ounces produced decreased 8% due to lower mill grade at Jundee, Kalgoorlie, and Tanami coupled with lower throughput at Tanami and partly offset by higher throughput at Waihi. *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce increased 27% primarily due to lower production, higher operating costs, and the impact of the carbon tax. *Amortization* per ounce increased 31% due to lower production at Kalgoorlie, Tanami, and Jundee coupled with higher mine development costs at Tanami and Jundee.

We continue to expect attributable gold production for Australia/New Zealand of approximately 1.6 to 1.7 million ounces at *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$900 to \$1,000 in 2013. We expect attributable copper production for Australia/New Zealand to be approximately 70 to 80 million pounds at *Costs applicable to sales* per pound of approximately \$2.45 to \$2.65 in 2013.

Indonesia Operations

	Gold or Copper Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(ounces in thousands)		(\$ per ounce)		(\$ per ounce)	
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Gold						
Batu Hijau	14	22	\$ 993	\$ 913	\$ 209	\$ 168
Attributable to Newmont ⁽²⁾	7	11				
Copper	(pounds in millions)		(\$ per pound)		(\$ per pound)	
Batu Hijau	40	43	\$ 2.05	\$ 2.00	\$0.40	\$0.36
Attributable to Newmont	20	21				

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Batu Hijau, Indonesia. Copper pounds and gold ounces produced decreased 7% and 36%, respectively, due to lower grade and recovery as a result of processing lower grade stockpiled material as Phase 6 stripping continues. *Costs applicable to sales* per pound and per ounce increased 3% and 9%, respectively, due to lower production partially offset by lower mill maintenance costs. *Amortization* per pound and per ounce increased 11% and 24%, respectively, due to lower copper and gold sales.

Table of Contents

We continue to expect attributable gold production for Indonesia of approximately 20,000 to 30,000 ounces at *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$900 to \$1,000 in 2013. We expect attributable copper production for Indonesia to be approximately 75 to 90 million pounds at consolidated *Costs applicable to sales* per pound of approximately \$2.20 to \$2.40 in 2013.

Africa Operations

	Gold Ounces Produced		Costs Applicable to Sales ⁽¹⁾		Amortization	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)		(\$ per ounce)		(\$ per ounce)	
Three Months Ended March 31,						
Ahafo	125	175	\$ 555	\$ 568	\$144	\$143
Attributable to Newmont	125	175				

⁽¹⁾ Excludes *Amortization* and *Reclamation and remediation*.

First quarter 2013 compared to 2012

Ahafo, Ghana. Gold ounces produced decreased 29% due to an increase of in-process inventory and lower mill grade, partly offset by higher recovery. *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce decreased 2% due to lower mining and milling costs compared to the prior year quarter.

We continue to expect gold production in Africa of approximately 625,000 to 675,000 ounces at *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce of approximately \$525 to \$575 in 2013.

All-In Sustaining Costs

All-in sustaining costs include *Costs applicable to sales*, *General and administrative*, *Exploration*, *Advanced projects, research and development*, *Other expense, net* and sustaining capital expenditures. The sum of these costs, less copper sales is divided by gold ounces sold to determine a per ounce amount. All-in sustaining costs are non-GAAP financial measures. See page 53 for a reconciliation.

All-in sustaining costs per ounce were \$1,086 (\$1,115 attributable) and \$1,016 (\$1,046 attributable) for first quarter 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

Our foreign operations sell their gold and copper production based on U.S. dollar metal prices. Approximately 49% and 43% of our *Costs applicable to sales* were paid in local currencies during the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. Variations in the local currency exchange rates in relation to the U.S. dollar at our foreign mining operations increased consolidated *Costs applicable to sales* per ounce by approximately \$4, net of hedging gains and losses, during the first quarter of 2013 as compared to the first quarter of 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Provided from Operating Activities

Net cash provided from continuing operations was \$439 in the first quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$174 from the first quarter of 2012, primarily due to lower gold production and a lower average realized gold price partially offset by a net decrease in operating assets and liabilities. The decrease in net operating assets and liabilities of \$68 in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 is due to decreases in account receivables and other assets.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased to \$507 during the first quarter of 2013 compared to \$855 during the same period of 2012, respectively. Additions to property, plant and mine development were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
North America:		
Nevada	\$ 106	\$ 157
La Herradura	19	21
	<u>125</u>	<u>178</u>
South America:		
Yanacocha	48	93
Conga	86	147
Other South America	21	27
	<u>155</u>	<u>267</u>
Australia/New Zealand:		
Boddington	25	23
Other Australia/New Zealand	41	73
	<u>66</u>	<u>96</u>
Indonesia:		
Batu Hijau	23	33
	<u>23</u>	<u>33</u>
Africa:		
Ahafo	60	50
Akyem	68	85
	<u>128</u>	<u>135</u>
Corporate and Other	—	11
Accrual basis	497	720
Decrease (increase) in accrued capital expenditures	13	(24)
Cash basis	<u>\$ 510</u>	<u>\$ 696</u>

Capital expenditures in North America during the first quarter of 2013 were primarily related to the construction of the Phoenix Copper Leach project, the development of the Turf Vent Shaft project, surface and underground mine development in both Nevada and Mexico and infrastructure improvements in Nevada. Capital expenditures in South America were primarily related to the Conga and Merian projects, surface mine development and equipment purchases. The majority of capital expenditures in Australia and New Zealand were for underground mine development, tailings facility construction, mining equipment purchases and infrastructure improvements. Capital expenditures in Batu Hijau were primarily for equipment and equipment component purchases and infrastructure improvements. Capital expenditures in Africa were primarily related to Akyem development and the Subika expansion project, equipment purchases and surface mine development at Ahafo. We now expect 2013 consolidated capital expenditures to be \$2,300 to \$2,500 (\$2,000 to \$2,200 attributable to Newmont).

Capital expenditures in North America during the first quarter of 2012 were primarily related to development of the Emigrant leach project, surface mine development, Noche Buena leach project in Mexico and other equipment purchases and infrastructure improvements in Nevada. Capital expenditures in South America were primarily related to Conga project development and Yanacocha leach pad development, surface mine development and equipment purchases. The majority of capital expenditures in Australia, New Zealand and Batu Hijau were for surface and underground development, mining equipment and infrastructure improvements. Capital expenditures in Africa were primarily related to Akyem development and the Subika expansion project at Ahafo.

Acquisitions, net. During the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, we paid \$8 and \$11 in contingent payments in accordance with the 2009 Boddington acquisition agreement.

Table of Contents

Purchases of marketable securities. During the first quarter of 2013 we purchased marketable equity securities of \$1. During the first quarter of 2012, we purchased corporate marketable debt securities of \$143 (short-term \$55 and long-term \$88).

Proceeds from sale of other assets. During the first quarter of 2013, we received \$25 primarily from the sale of equipment at Conga. During the first quarter of 2012 we received \$12 primarily from the sale of land and other assets.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided from (used in) financing activities was \$(105) and \$1,094 during the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Proceeds from and repayment of debt. During the first quarter of 2013, we received net proceeds from debt of \$80 from the PTNNT revolving credit facility. During the first quarter of 2012, we received net proceeds from debt of \$3,346, including \$1,246 under our revolving credit facility, \$1,479 from the issuance of senior notes due in 2022 and \$983 from the issuance of senior notes due in 2042. Proceeds from the issuance of debt were partially offset by the settlement of forward starting interest rate swaps of \$362, repayment of \$1,285 under our revolving credit facility, \$517 for repayment of the 2012 Convertible Senior Notes and \$105 related to exercising the early purchase option related to the sale-leaseback of the refractory ore treatment plant in Nevada (classified as a capital lease). At March 31, 2013, \$394 of the \$3,000 revolving credit facility was used to secure the issuance of letters of credit, primarily supporting reclamation obligations (see “*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*” below).

Scheduled minimum debt repayments are \$10 for the remainder of 2013, \$552 in 2014, \$11 in 2015, \$11 in 2016, \$742 in 2017 and \$5,063 thereafter. We expect to be able to fund debt maturities and capital expenditures from *Net cash provided by operating activities*, short-term investments, existing cash balances and available credit facilities.

At March 31, 2013 and 2012, we were in compliance with all required debt covenants and other restrictions related to debt agreements.

Payment of conversion premium on debt. In February 2012, we elected to pay in cash a conversion premium of \$172 upon repayment of the 2012 Convertible Senior Notes in lieu of issuing common shares.

Proceeds from stock issuance, net. We received proceeds of \$1 and \$2 during the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, from the issuance of common stock, primarily related to employee stock sales and option exercises.

Sale of noncontrolling interests. We received \$32 in proceeds, net of transaction costs, during the first quarter of 2013 related to the TMAC transaction.

Acquisition of noncontrolling interests. In the first quarter of 2013, we advanced certain funds to PTPI, an unrelated noncontrolling shareholder of PTNNT, in accordance with a loan agreement. Our economic interest in PTNNT did not change as a result of these transactions.

Dividends paid to common stockholders. We declared regular quarterly dividends totaling \$0.425 and \$0.35 per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Additionally, Newmont Mining Corporation of Canada Limited, a subsidiary of the Company, declared regular quarterly dividends on its exchangeable shares totaling C\$0.4319 per share through March 31, 2013 and C\$0.35 through March 31, 2012. We paid dividends of \$211 and \$173 to common stockholders in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Discontinued Operations

Net operating cash used in discontinued operations was \$6 and \$4 in the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to payments on the Holt property royalty.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have the following off-balance sheet arrangements: operating leases (as discussed in Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 22, 2013) and \$1,835 of outstanding letters of credit, surety bonds and bank guarantees (see Note 25 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Table of Contents

We also have sales agreements to sell copper and gold concentrates at market prices as follows (in thousands of tons):

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>
Batu Hijau	343	515	—	—	—	—
Boddington	187	176	154	154	154	99
Nevada	50	48	41	71	—	—
	<u>580</u>	<u>739</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>154</u>	<u>99</u>

Other Liquidity Matters

At March 31, 2013, the Company had \$1,378 in cash and cash equivalents, of which \$1,244 was held in foreign subsidiaries and is primarily held in U.S. dollar denominated accounts with the remainder in foreign currencies readily convertible to U.S. dollars. At March 31, 2013, \$455 of the consolidated cash and cash equivalents was attributable to noncontrolling interests primarily related to our Indonesian and Peruvian operations which is being held to fund those operations and development projects. At March 31, 2013, \$327 in consolidated cash and cash equivalents (\$202 attributable to Newmont) was held at certain foreign subsidiaries that, if repatriated may be subject to withholding taxes, which would generate foreign tax credits in the U.S. As a result, we expect that there would be minimal U.S. tax liability upon repatriation of these amounts after considering available foreign tax credits. All other amounts represent earnings that are taxed in the U.S. on a current basis due to being held in U.S. subsidiaries or non-U.S. subsidiaries that are flow-through entities for U.S. tax purposes.

We believe that our liquidity and capital resources from U.S. operations and flow-through foreign subsidiaries are adequate to fund our U.S. operations and corporate activities.

Environmental

Our mining and exploration activities are subject to various federal and state laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. We have made, and expect to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations, but cannot predict the full amount of such future expenditures. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$1,350 and \$1,341, respectively, were accrued for reclamation costs relating to currently or recently producing mineral properties.

In addition, we are involved in several matters concerning environmental obligations associated with former mining activities. Based upon our best estimate of our liability for these matters, \$195 and \$198 were accrued for such obligations at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We spent \$4 and \$22 during the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively, for environmental obligations related to the former, primarily historic, mining activities and have classified \$19 as a current liability at March 31, 2013.

During the first quarter of 2013 and 2012, capital expenditures were approximately \$20 and \$41, respectively, to comply with environmental regulations. Ongoing costs to comply with environmental regulations have not been a significant component of operating costs.

For more information on the Company's reclamation and remediation liabilities, see Notes 4 and 25 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounting Developments

For a discussion of Recently Adopted and Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements, see Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Non-GAAP financial measures are intended to provide additional information only and do not have any standard meaning prescribed by generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). These measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted net income

Management of the Company uses *Adjusted net income* to evaluate the Company’s operating performance, and for planning and forecasting future business operations. The Company believes the use of *Adjusted net income* allows investors and analysts to compare results of the continuing operations of the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries relating to the production and sale of minerals to similar operating results of other mining companies, by excluding exceptional or unusual items. Management’s determination of the components of *Adjusted net income* are evaluated periodically and based, in part, on a review of non-GAAP financial measures used by mining industry analysts. *Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders* is reconciled to *Adjusted net income* as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders	\$ 315	\$ 490
Restructuring and other	5	—
Impairments/asset sales, net	4	17
TMAC transaction costs	30	—
Discontinued operations (income) loss	—	71
Adjusted net income	\$ 354	\$ 578
Adjusted net income per share, basic	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.17
Adjusted net income per share, diluted	\$ 0.71	\$ 1.15

Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound

Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound are non-GAAP financial measures. These measures are calculated by dividing the costs applicable to sales of gold and copper by gold ounces or copper pounds sold, respectively. These measures are calculated on a consistent basis for the periods presented on both a consolidated and attributable to Newmont basis. Attributable costs applicable to sales are based on our economic interest in production from our mines. For operations where we hold less than a 100% economic share in the production, we exclude the share of gold or copper production attributable to the noncontrolling interest. We include attributable costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound to provide management, investors and analysts with information with which to compare our performance to other gold producers. Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound statistics are intended to provide additional information only and do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. The measures are not necessarily indicative of operating profit or cash flow from operations as determined under GAAP. Other companies may calculate these measures differently.

Net attributable costs applicable to sales per ounce measures the benefit of copper produced in conjunction with gold, as a credit against the cost of producing gold. A number of other gold producers present their costs net of the contribution from copper and other non-gold sales. We believe that including a measure on this basis provides management, investors and analysts with information with which to compare our performance to other gold producers, and to better assess the overall performance of our business. In addition, this measure provides information to enable investors and analysts to understand the importance of non-gold revenues to our cost structure.

The following tables reconcile these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures.

Table of Contents

Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound

	Gold		Copper	
	Three Months Ended March 31,		Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Costs applicable to sales:				
Consolidated per financial statements	\$ 949	\$ 902	\$ 95	\$ 115
Noncontrolling interests ⁽¹⁾	(81)	(91)	(24)	(44)
Attributable to Newmont	<u>\$ 868</u>	<u>\$ 811</u>	<u>\$ 71</u>	<u>\$ 71</u>
Gold/Copper sold (thousand ounces/million pounds):				
Consolidated	1,252	1,455	43	58
Noncontrolling interests ⁽¹⁾	(139)	(181)	(12)	(22)
Attributable to Newmont	<u>1,113</u>	<u>1,274</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>36</u>
Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound:				
Consolidated	\$ 758	\$ 620	\$ 2.19	\$ 1.98
Attributable to Newmont	\$ 781	\$ 637	\$ 2.24	\$ 1.97

Net attributable costs applicable to sales per ounce

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2013	2012
Attributable costs applicable to sales:		
Gold	\$ 868	\$ 811
Copper	71	71
	<u>939</u>	<u>882</u>
Copper revenue:		
Consolidated	(135)	(233)
Noncontrolling interests ⁽¹⁾	36	89
	<u>(99)</u>	<u>(144)</u>
Net attributable costs applicable to sales	<u>\$ 840</u>	<u>\$ 738</u>
Attributable gold ounces sold (thousands)	1,113	1,274
Net attributable costs applicable to sales per ounce	\$ 755	\$ 580

⁽¹⁾ Relates to partners' interests in Batu Hijau and Yanacocha.

All-In Sustaining Costs

All-in sustaining costs are non-GAAP financial measures. This measure includes *Costs applicable to sales*, *General and administrative*, *Exploration*, *Advanced projects, research and development*, *Other expense, net* and sustaining capital expenditures. The sum of these costs, less copper sales is divided by gold ounces sold to determine a per ounce amount. Attributable all-in sustaining costs are based on our economic interest in production from our mines. For operations where we hold less than a 100% economic share in the production, we exclude the share of gold or copper production attributable to the noncontrolling interest. We include attributable all-in sustaining costs to provide management, investors and analysts with information with which to compare our performance to other gold producers. All-in sustaining costs statistics are intended to provide additional information only and do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. The measures are not necessarily indicative of operating profit or cash flow from operations as determined under GAAP. Other companies may calculate these measures differently. The World Gold Council project to define all-in sustaining costs is ongoing and a final standard is expected in 2013. We expect to conform our disclosure of all-in sustaining costs to the measure that is ultimately approved by the World Gold Council.

Table of Contents

The following tables reconcile these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures:

	<u>All-In Sustaining Costs</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Cost applicable to sales	1,044	1,017
Advanced projects, research and development, and Exploration	111	190
General and administrative	56	54
Other expense, net ⁽¹⁾	46	70
Sustaining capital ⁽²⁾	238	381
Copper sales	\$ (135)	\$ (233)
Consolidated	<u>1,360</u>	<u>1,479</u>
Noncontrolling interests	\$ (119)	\$ (146)
Attributable to Newmont	<u>1,241</u>	<u>1,333</u>
Gold ounces sold (thousands)		
Consolidated	1,252	1,455
Noncontrolling interests	(139)	(181)
Attributable to Newmont ⁽³⁾	<u>\$1,113</u>	<u>\$1,274</u>
All-in sustaining costs (per ounce)		
Consolidated	\$1,086	\$1,016
Attributable to Newmont	\$1,115	\$1,046

- (1) *Other expense, net* is adjusted for restructuring of \$9 and TMAC transaction costs of \$45 for 2013 and Hope Bay care and maintenance of \$50 for 2012.
- (2) Excludes capital expenditures for development projects at Conga, Akyem, Phoenix Copper Leach, and Turf Vent Shaft for 2013 and 2012 and additionally, excludes Emigrant for 2012 only.
- (3) Excludes our attributable production from La Zanja and Duketon.

Operating margin per ounce/pound

Operating margin per ounce/pound are non-GAAP financial measures. These measures are calculated by subtracting the costs applicable to sales per ounce of gold and per pound of copper from the average realized gold price per ounce and copper price per pound, respectively. These measures are calculated on a consistent basis for the periods presented on a consolidated basis. Operating margin per ounce/pound statistics are intended to provide additional information only and do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. The measures are not necessarily indicative of operating profit or cash flow from operations as determined under GAAP. Other companies may calculate these measures differently. Operating margin per ounce/pound is calculated as follows:

	<u>Gold</u>		<u>Copper</u>	
	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>		<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Average realized price per ounce/pound	\$ 1,631	\$ 1,684	\$ 3.13	\$ 4.01
Costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound	(758)	(620)	(2.19)	(1.98)
Operating margin per ounce/pound	<u>\$ 873</u>	<u>\$ 1,064</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 2.03</u>

Table of Contents

Safe Harbor Statement

Certain statements contained in this report (including information incorporated by reference) are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are intended to be covered by the safe harbor provided for under these sections. Our forward-looking statements include, without limitation: (a) statements regarding future earnings, and the sensitivity of earnings to gold and other metal prices; (b) estimates of future mineral production and sales for specific operations and on a consolidated basis; (c) estimates of future production costs and other expenses, for specific operations and on a consolidated basis; (d) estimates of future cash flows and the sensitivity of cash flows to gold and other metal prices; (e) estimates of future capital expenditures and other cash needs for specific operations and on a consolidated basis and expectations as to the funding thereof; (f) statements as to the projected development of certain ore deposits, including estimates of development and other capital costs, financing plans for these deposits, and expected production commencement dates; (g) estimates of future costs and other liabilities for certain environmental matters; (h) estimates of reserves, and statements regarding future exploration results and reserve replacement; (i) statements regarding modifications to Newmont’s hedge positions; (j) statements regarding future transactions relating to portfolio management or rationalization efforts; and (k) projected synergies and costs associated with acquisitions and related matters.

Where we express an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, our forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected, or implied by those forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements (“cautionary statements”) are disclosed under “Risk Factors” in the Newmont Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Many of these factors are beyond Newmont’s ability to control or predict. Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements.

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to Newmont or to persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. Newmont disclaims any intention or obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

(dollars in millions, except per ounce and per pound amounts).

Metal Prices

Changes in the market price of gold significantly affect our profitability and cash flow. Gold prices can fluctuate widely due to numerous factors, such as demand; forward selling by producers; central bank sales, purchases and lending; investor sentiment; the strength of the U.S. dollar; inflation, deflation, or other general price instability; and global mine production levels. Changes in the market price of copper also affect our profitability and cash flow. Copper is traded on established international exchanges and copper prices generally reflect market supply and demand, but can also be influenced by speculative trading in the commodity or by currency exchange rates.

Hedging

Our strategy is to provide shareholders with leverage to changes in gold and copper prices by selling our production at spot market prices. Consequently, we do not hedge our gold and copper sales. We have and will continue to manage certain risks associated with commodity input costs, interest rates and foreign currencies using the derivative market.

By using derivatives, we are affected by credit risk, market risk and market liquidity risk. Credit risk is the risk that a third party might fail to fulfill its performance obligations under the terms of a financial instrument. We mitigate credit risk by entering into derivatives with high credit quality counterparties, limiting the amount of exposure to each counterparty, and monitoring the financial condition of the counterparties. Market risk is the risk that the fair value of a derivative might be adversely affected by a change in underlying commodity prices, interest rates, or currency exchange rates, and that this in turn affects our financial condition. We manage market risk by establishing and monitoring parameters that limit the types and degree of market risk that may be undertaken. We mitigate this potential risk to our financial condition by establishing trading agreements with counterparties under which we are not required to post any collateral or make any margin calls on our derivatives. Our counterparties cannot require settlement solely because of an adverse change in the fair value of a derivative. Market liquidity risk is the risk that a derivative cannot be eliminated quickly, by either liquidating it or by establishing an offsetting position. Under the terms of our trading agreements, counterparties cannot require us to immediately settle outstanding derivatives, except upon the occurrence of customary events of default such as covenant breaches, including financial covenants, insolvency or bankruptcy. We further mitigate market liquidity risk by spreading out the maturity of our derivatives over time.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow Hedges

We utilize foreign currency contracts to reduce the variability of the US dollar amount of forecasted foreign currency expenditures caused by changes in exchange rates. We hedge a portion of our A\$ and NZ\$ denominated operating expenditures which results in a blended rate realized each period. The hedging instruments are fixed forward contracts with expiration dates ranging up to five years from the date of issue. The principal hedging objective is reduction in the volatility of realized period-on-period \$/A\$ and \$/NZ\$ rates, respectively. We also utilize foreign currency contracts to hedge a portion of the Company's A\$ denominated capital expenditures related to the construction of the Akyem project in Africa. The hedging instruments are fixed forward contracts with expiration dates ranging up to three years. We use diesel contracts to reduce the variability of our operating cost exposure related to diesel prices of fuel consumed at our Nevada operations. All of the currency, diesel and forward starting swap contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of future expenditures, and as such, changes in the market value have been recorded in *Accumulated other comprehensive income*. Gains and losses from hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We had the following foreign currency derivative contracts outstanding at March 31, 2013:

	Expected Maturity Date						Total Average
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
A\$ Operating Fixed Forward Contracts:							
A\$ notional (millions)	959	1,035	737	490	208	14	3,443
Average rate (\$/A\$)	0.95	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93
Expected hedge ratio	80%	67%	48%	32%	13%	4%	
A\$ Capital Fixed Forward Contracts:							
A\$ notional (millions)	3	—	—	—	—	—	3
Average rate (\$/A\$)	0.96	—	—	—	—	—	0.96
Expected hedge ratio	36%	—	—	—	—	—	
NZ\$ Operating Fixed Forward Contracts:							
NZ\$ notional (millions)	55	35	3	—	—	—	93
Average rate (\$/NZ\$)	0.80	0.80	0.79	—	—	—	0.80
Expected hedge ratio	60%	30%	11%	—	—	—	

The fair value of the A\$ foreign currency operating derivative contracts was a net asset position of \$234 and \$250 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The fair value of the NZ\$ foreign currency derivative contracts was a net asset position of \$2 and \$2 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Diesel Price Risk

We had the following diesel derivative contracts outstanding at March 31, 2013:

	Expected Maturity Date				Total Average
	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Diesel Fixed Forward Contracts:					
Diesel gallons (millions)	20	18	8	1	47
Average rate (\$/gallon)	2.92	2.88	2.79	2.76	2.88
Expected hedge ratio	61%	41%	19%	5%	

The fair value of the diesel derivative contracts was a net asset of \$3 and \$1 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Forward Starting Swap Contracts

During 2011, we entered into forward starting interest rate swap contracts with a total notional value of \$2,000. These contracts hedged movements in treasury rates related to a debt issuance that occurred in the first quarter of 2012. On March 8, 2012, we closed its sale of \$2,500 senior notes consisting of 3.5% senior notes due 2022 in the principal amount of \$1,500 (10-year notes), and 4.875% senior notes due 2042 in the principal amount of \$1,000 (30-year notes). As a result of the debt issued, the forward-starting interest rate swaps were settled. The total settlement amount of these swaps was \$362, of which \$349 represents the effective portion of the hedging instrument included in *Accumulated other comprehensive income*. The net proceeds from the debt issuance were adjusted by the settlement amount of the swap contracts and included as a financing activity in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow.

Commodity Price Risk

Our provisional copper and gold sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of the gold and copper concentrates at the prevailing indices' prices at the time of sale. The embedded derivative, which does not qualify for hedge accounting, is marked to market through earnings each period prior to final settlement.

LME copper prices averaged \$3.60 per pound during the first quarter of 2013, consistent with our recorded average provisional price before mark-to-market losses and treatment and refining charges. During the first quarter of 2013, changes in copper prices resulted in a provisional pricing mark-to-market loss of \$9 (\$0.21 per pound). At March 31, 2013, we had copper sales of 38 million pounds priced at an average of \$3.45 per pound, subject to final pricing over the next several months. Each \$0.10 change in the price for provisionally priced sales would have an approximate \$2 effect on our *Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders*.

The average London P.M. fix for gold was \$1,632 per ounce during the first quarter of 2013, consistent with our recorded average provisional price before mark-to-market gains and treatment and refining charges. During the first quarter of 2013, changes in gold prices resulted in a provisional pricing mark-to-market gain of \$3 (\$2 per ounce). At March 31, 2013, we had gold sales of 104,000 ounces priced at an average of \$1,598 per ounce, subject to final pricing over the next several months. Each \$25 change in the price for provisionally priced gold sales would have an approximate \$2 effect on our *Net income attributable to Newmont stockholders*.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

During the fiscal period covered by this report, the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods and are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

The Company maintains a system of internal control over financial reporting that is designed to provide reasonable assurance that its books and records accurately reflect transactions and that established policies and procedures are followed. The Company is implementing an enterprise resource planning ("ERP") system on a staged basis at its most significant subsidiaries around the world, excluding Indonesia. The Company began the implementation of the ERP system in North America during the second quarter of 2012 and continued with the implementation in South America during the third quarter of 2012, Australia/New Zealand during the fourth quarter of 2012 and Africa in the first quarter of 2013, which resulted in a change to its system of internal control over financial reporting. The Company is implementing the global ERP system to improve standardization and automation, and not in response to a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting. The Company believes that the implementation of the ERP system and related changes to internal controls will enhance its internal controls over financial reporting while providing the ability to scale its business in the future. See Item 1A in the Company's most recently filed Form 10-K for risk factors related to the implementation and integration of information technology systems. The Company has taken the necessary steps to monitor and maintain appropriate internal control over financial reporting during this period of change and will continue to evaluate the operating effectiveness of related key controls during subsequent periods.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Information regarding legal proceedings is contained in Note 25 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There were no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of Part 1 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013.

ITEM 2. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that may yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
January 1, 2013 through January 31, 2013	—	—	—	N/A
February 1, 2013 through February 28, 2013	12,573 ⁽¹⁾	40.92	—	N/A
March 1, 2013 through March 31, 2013	31,959 ⁽¹⁾	40.08	—	N/A

⁽¹⁾ Represents shares delivered to the Company from restricted stock units held by a Company employee upon vesting for the purpose of covering the recipient’s tax withholding obligations.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

At Newmont, safety is a core value and we strive for superior performance. Our health and safety management system, which includes detailed standards and procedures for safe production, addresses topics such as employee training, risk management, workplace inspection, emergency response, accident investigation and program auditing. In addition to strong leadership and involvement from all levels of the organization, these programs and procedures form the cornerstone of safety at Newmont, ensuring that employees are provided a safe and healthy environment and are intended to reduce workplace accidents, incidents and losses, comply with all mining-related regulations and provide support for both regulators and the industry to improve mine safety.

In addition, we have established our “Rapid Response” process to mitigate and prevent the escalation of adverse consequences if existing risk management controls fail, particularly if an incident may have the potential to seriously impact the safety of employees, the community or the environment. This process provides appropriate support to an affected site to complement their technical response to an incident, so as to reduce the impact by considering the environmental, strategic, legal, financial and public image aspects of the incident, to ensure communications are being carried out in accordance with legal and ethical requirements and to identify actions in addition to those addressing the immediate hazards.

The operation of our U.S. based mines is subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”) under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the “Mine Act”). MSHA inspects our mines on a regular basis and issues various citations and orders when it believes a violation has occurred under the Mine Act. Following passage of The Mine Improvement and New Emergency Response Act of 2006, MSHA significantly increased the numbers of citations and orders charged against mining operations. The dollar penalties assessed for citations issued has also increased in recent years.

Table of Contents

Newmont is required to report certain mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K, and that required information is included in Exhibit 95 and is incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

(a) The exhibits to this report are listed in the Exhibit Index.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: April 29, 2013

/s/ RUSSELL BALL

Russell Ball
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: April 29, 2013

/s/ CHRISTOPHER S. HOWSON

Christopher S. Howson
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.1	– Executive Severance Release and Waiver, dated March 1, 2013, between Richard T. O’Brien and Newmont International Services Limited, filed herewith.
12.1	– Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges, filed herewith.
31.1	– Certification Pursuant to Rule 13A-14 or 15-D-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 signed by the Principal Executive Officer, filed herewith.
31.2	– Certification Pursuant to Rule 13A-14 or 15-D-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 signed by the Chief Financial Officer, filed herewith.
32.1	– Statement Required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 signed by the Principal Executive Officer, filed herewith. ⁽¹⁾
32.2	– Statement Required by 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 signed by the Chief Financial Officer, filed herewith. ⁽¹⁾
95	– Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, filed herewith.
101	– 101.INS XBRL Instance 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition

⁽¹⁾ This document is being furnished in accordance with SEC Release Nos. 33-8212 and 34-47551.

SEVERANCE RELEASE AND WAIVER

I. RECITALS

A. This **AGREEMENT**, which is effective on the **EFFECTIVE DATE**, is by and between Newmont International Services Limited and Richard T. O'Brien hereinafter "**EMPLOYEE**").

B. In consideration of the promises contained in this **AGREEMENT**, **NEWMONT** and **EMPLOYEE** agree as follows:

II. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall be applicable for the purposes of only this **AGREEMENT**:

A. "**AGREEMENT**" means this Severance Release and Waiver.

B. "**CLAIMS**" means any debt, obligation, demand, application for attorneys' fees and/or dispute resolution costs, cause of action, judgment, controversy or claim of any kind whatsoever between **EMPLOYEE** and **NEWMONT**, whether arising under common law or statute, including but not limited to claims for breach of contract (express or implied), quasi-contract, promissory estoppel, tort, fraud, misrepresentation, discrimination or any other legal theory; disputes relating to the employment relationship between the parties, termination thereof; any and all debts, obligations, claims, demands, compensation, or rights under the company's employee benefit plans; claims under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; claims under the Civil Rights Act of 1991; claims under the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993; claims under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended; claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1981, § 1981a, § 1983, § 1985, or § 1988; claims under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended; claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended; claims under the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act; or any other applicable federal, state, or local statute or ordinance, excluding claims for workers' compensation benefits and claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended.

C. **“COMPANY INFORMATION”** means any confidential legal, financial, marketing, business, technical, or other information, including specifically but not exclusively, information which **EMPLOYEE** prepared, caused to be prepared, or received in connection with **EMPLOYEE**'s employment with **NEWMONT** , such as management and business plans, business strategies, software, software evaluations, trade secrets, personnel information, marketing methods and techniques, and any of the above-recited information as it relates to **NEWMONT**. **COMPANY INFORMATION** does not include: (a) information or knowledge which may subsequently come into the public domain after the termination of **EMPLOYEE**'s employment other than by way of unauthorized disclosure by **EMPLOYEE**; or (b) information or knowledge which **EMPLOYEE** is required to disclose by order of a governmental agency or court after timely notice has been provided to **NEWMONT** of such order.

D. **“EFFECTIVE DATE”** means the first date upon which all of the following have occurred: (1) **EMPLOYEE** has executed this **AGREEMENT**; (2) the revocation period, if any, has expired without revocation by **EMPLOYEE**; (3) the executed agreement has been timely returned to Lori Ann Kocon, Employee Relations, Human Resources, Newmont, 6363 South Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 800, Greenwood Village, CO 80111; and (4) any **CLAIMS** by **EMPLOYEE** have been withdrawn and dismissed with prejudice.

E. **“EMPLOYEE”** means Richard T. O'Brien.

F. **“NEWMONT”** means Newmont International Services Limited and any predecessor or current or former subsidiary, parent, affiliated company, or successor of any of them, or benefit plan maintained or participated in by any of them , and the current and former directors, officers, employees, shareholders and agents of any or all of them, unless otherwise specifically stated in this **AGREEMENT**.

G. **“NEWMONT PROPERTY”** shall include, but not be limited to, keys, access cards, files, memoranda, reports, software, credit cards, computer disks, instructional and management manuals, books, and computer equipment of **NEWMONT**.

III. COVENANTS

A. Separation from Employment. **EMPLOYEE** shall be separated from employment with **NEWMONT** without cause, effective March 1, 2013 (“**DATE OF SEPARATION**”).

B. Severance Benefits to EMPLOYEE. Contingent upon execution of this **AGREEMENT** without revocation, **NEWMONT** will provide to **EMPLOYEE** a payment, less all applicable local, state, and federal withholding taxes, and benefits pursuant to the provisions of the Executive Severance Plan of Newmont. This amount shall be paid sixty (60) days after the **DATE OF SEPARATION**.

C. No Other Payments. Payment of all sums set forth in this **AGREEMENT** shall discharge all obligations of **NEWMONT** to **EMPLOYEE**, and **EMPLOYEE** waives all rights to other compensation and benefits including specifically, but not exclusively, salaries, bonuses, benefits of whatsoever kind and description, and allowances for perquisites, but excluding : 1) all vested rights pursuant to any applicable pension or retirement savings plan of **NEWMONT**, and; 2) any rights regarding stock options, unvested restricted units or restricted stock granted prior to the **EFFECTIVE DATE** of this **AGREEMENT** as provided by the severance clause of the applicable award agreement or plan document if there is no award agreement.

D. Return and Protection of COMPANY INFORMATION. **EMPLOYEE** will not use or disclose **COMPANY INFORMATION** at any time subsequent to the **EFFECTIVE DATE** of this **AGREEMENT**. **EMPLOYEE** will either, by the **DATE OF SEPARATION**, return to **NEWMONT**, or destroy , all **NEWMONT PROPERTY** and all documents and other material containing **COMPANY INFORMATION in EMPLOYEE’S** possession. **EMPLOYEE** will not retain copies or excerpts of **COMPANY INFORMATION**. **EMPLOYEE** will not disclose **COMPANY INFORMATION** at any time prior to the **EFFECTIVE DATE** of this **AGREEMENT**, except as required in the course of **EMPLOYEE’S** employment with **NEWMONT**. **EMPLOYEE** acknowledges that this paragraph is a material term of this **AGREEMENT**. Accordingly, in the event of a breach of this paragraph by **EMPLOYEE** , in addition to any other remedy available to **NEWMONT**, **NEWMONT** may cease any remaining payments otherwise due **EMPLOYEE** under this **AGREEMENT** and will be entitled to injunctive relief and damages against **EMPLOYEE**.

E. Release of Claims By EMPLOYEE. As a material inducement to **NEWMONT** to enter into this **AGREEMENT**, **EMPLOYEE**, as a free and voluntary act, hereby forever releases and discharges **NEWMONT** from, and covenants not to sue **NEWMONT** for, **CLAIMS** which **EMPLOYEE** might have or assert against **NEWMONT** (1) by reason of **EMPLOYEE'S** employment and/or termination of employment by **NEWMONT** and all circumstances related thereto; or (2) by reason of any other matter, cause or thing whatsoever which may have occurred between **EMPLOYEE** and **NEWMONT** prior to the **EFFECTIVE DATE** of this **AGREEMENT**, excluding claims regarding **EMPLOYEE's**: 1) vested rights pursuant to any applicable pension or retirement savings plan of **NEWMONT**, and; 2) any rights regarding stock options, unvested restricted units or restricted stock granted prior to the **EFFECTIVE DATE** of this **AGREEMENT** as provided by the severance clause of the applicable award agreement or plan document if there is no award agreement. With respect to any charges of discrimination filed with any federal, state or local agency, pending or otherwise, arising from or related to **EMPLOYEE'S** employment or termination of employment with **NEWMONT**, **EMPLOYEE** acknowledges that **EMPLOYEE** knowingly and voluntarily waives his or her right to seek individual relief on his or her own behalf.

F. Tax Liability. **EMPLOYEE** and **NEWMONT** agree that, in the event any taxing authority determines that amounts paid pursuant to this agreement are taxable beyond any amount withheld by **NEWMONT**, **EMPLOYEE** is solely responsible for the payment of all such taxes and penalties assessed against **EMPLOYEE**, except for legally mandated employer contributions and interest or penalties thereon, and that **NEWMONT** has no duty to defend **EMPLOYEE** against any such tax claim, penalty or assessment. **EMPLOYEE** agrees to cooperate in the defense of any such claim brought against **NEWMONT**. **NEWMONT** agrees to cooperate in the defense of any such claim brought against **EMPLOYEE**.

G. Non-disparagement. As a free and voluntary act, **EMPLOYEE** agrees that he or she will make no written or oral statements that directly or indirectly disparage **NEWMONT** in any manner whatsoever. It will not be a violation of this paragraph for **EMPLOYEE** to make truthful statements, under oath, as required by law or formal legal process.

H. Confidentiality. **EMPLOYEE** agrees that except as otherwise specifically provided in this **AGREEMENT**, **EMPLOYEE** will not disclose (in whole or in part) any of the terms or provisions of this **AGREEMENT**, or characterize any of the terms or provisions of this **AGREEMENT**, to any other person or entity. It shall not be a breach of this **AGREEMENT** for **EMPLOYEE** to disclose the terms and provisions of this **AGREEMENT** to his or her spouse, attorneys, accountants, tax advisors, or as compelled by law.

I. Affirmation of FLSA Compliance. **EMPLOYEE** affirms that **NEWMONT** has not violated **EMPLOYEE'S** rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended.

J. Nonsolicitation of Employees. **EMPLOYEE** agrees that **EMPLOYEE** will not for a period of one (1) year immediately following the date of separation of employment from **NEWMONT**, for any reason, either on **EMPLOYEE**'s own account or in conjunction with or on behalf of any other person or entity whatsoever, directly or indirectly, solicit, or entice away any person who, at any time during the three (3) months immediately preceding the date of separation of employment from **NEWMONT**, is a managerial level employee of **NEWMONT** (including, but not limited to, any executive, director-level employee, manager, or any equivalent or successor term for any such employee.)

IV. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

A. EMPLOYEE Cooperation. As a free and voluntary act, **EMPLOYEE** agrees after **EMPLOYEE**'s separation to cooperate at **NEWMONT**'S expense with any investigations or lawsuits involving **NEWMONT** on matters where **EMPLOYEE** had specific knowledge or responsibility. **EMPLOYEE** will be reimbursed at a rate equal to his or her final base salary computed on an hourly basis. **EMPLOYEE** shall make himself or herself available at **NEWMONT**'S expense for any litigation, including specifically, but not exclusively, preparation for depositions and trial. **EMPLOYEE** will not receive reimbursement for time spent testifying in depositions or trial. **EMPLOYEE** agrees not to assist or provide information in any litigation against **NEWMONT**, except as required under law or formal legal process after timely notice is provided to **NEWMONT** to allow **NEWMONT** to take legal action with respect to the request for information or assistance. Nothing in this **AGREEMENT** shall restrict or preclude **EMPLOYEE** from, or otherwise influence **EMPLOYEE** in, testifying fully and truthfully in legal or administrative proceedings against **NEWMONT**, as required by law or formal legal process.

B. Severability. In case any one or more of the provisions of this **AGREEMENT** shall be found to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained herein shall not in any way be affected or impaired. Further, any provision found to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable shall be deemed, without further action on the part of the parties hereto, to be modified, amended and/or limited to the minimum extent necessary to render such clauses and/or provisions valid and enforceable.

C. Entire Agreement. This **AGREEMENT** supersedes all prior written and verbal promises and agreements between the parties. This **AGREEMENT** constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and may be amended, modified or superseded only by a written agreement signed by both parties. No oral statements by any employee of **NEWMONT** shall modify or otherwise affect the terms and provisions of this **AGREEMENT**.

D. Governing Law. This **AGREEMENT** shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado.

NEWMONT MINING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
(Amounts in millions, except ratio)

	Three Months Ended
	March 31, 2013
Earnings:	
Income before income and mining tax and other items ⁽¹⁾	\$ 542
Adjustments:	
Fixed charges added to earnings	70
Amortization of capitalized interest	12
	<u>\$ 624</u>
Fixed Charges:	
Net interest expense ⁽²⁾	\$ 65
Portion of rental expense representative of interest	5
Fixed charges added to earnings	70
Capitalized interest	31
	<u>\$ 101</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	<u>6.2</u>

⁽¹⁾ Excludes interest on income tax liabilities. Interest and penalties related to income taxes are included in *Income and mining tax expense*.

⁽²⁾ Includes interest expense of majority-owned subsidiaries and amortization of debt issuance costs.

CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
(Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)

I, Gary J. Goldberg, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Newmont Mining Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ GARY J. GOLDBERG

Gary J. Goldberg
Chief Executive Officer

April 29, 2013

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
(Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)**

I, Russell Ball, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Newmont Mining Corporation;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/ s / R USSELL B ALL

Russell Ball

Chief Financial Officer

April 29, 2013

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
(Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 of Newmont Mining Corporation (the "Company") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report") and pursuant to 18. U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Gary J. Goldberg, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, that to my knowledge:

- 1.The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- 2.The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ GARY J. GOLDBERG

Gary J. Goldberg

Chief Executive Officer

April 29, 2013

Note: A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
(Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002)

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 of Newmont Mining Corporation (the "Company") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report") and pursuant to 18. U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, I, Russell Ball, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, that to my knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ RUSSELL BALL

Russell Ball

Chief Financial Officer

April 29, 2013

Note: A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Mine Safety Disclosure

The following disclosures are provided pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Act”) and Item 104 of Regulation S-K, which requires certain disclosures by companies required to file periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that operate mines regulated under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the “Mine Act”). The disclosures reflect our U.S. mining operations only as the requirements of the Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K do not apply to our mines operated outside the United States.

Mine Safety Information. Whenever the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”) believes a violation of the Mine Act, any health or safety standard or any regulation has occurred, it may issue a citation which describes the alleged violation and fixes a time within which the U.S. mining operator (e.g. our subsidiary, Newmont USA Limited) must abate the alleged violation. In some situations, such as when MSHA believes that conditions pose a hazard to miners, MSHA may issue an order removing miners from the area of the mine affected by the condition until the alleged hazards are corrected. When MSHA issues a citation or order, it generally proposes a civil penalty, or fine, as a result of the alleged violation, that the operator is ordered to pay. Citations and orders can be contested and appealed, and as part of that process, are often reduced in severity and amount, and are sometimes dismissed. The number of citations, orders and proposed assessments vary depending on the size and type (underground or surface) of the mine as well as by the MSHA inspector(s) assigned.

The below table reflects citations and orders issued to us by MSHA during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The proposed assessments for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 were taken from the MSHA data retrieval system as of April 8, 2013.

Additional information about the Act and MSHA references used in the table follows.

- *Section 104(a) S&S Citations* : Citations received from MSHA under section 104(a) of the Mine Act for violations of mandatory health or safety standards that could significantly and substantially contribute to the cause and effect of a mine safety or health hazard.
- *Section 104(b) Orders* : Orders issued by MSHA under section 104(b) of the Mine Act, which represents a failure to abate a citation under section 104(a) within the period of time prescribed by MSHA. This results in an order of immediate withdrawal from the area of the mine affected by the condition until MSHA determines that the violation has been abated.
- *Section 104(d) S&S Citations and Orders* : Citations and orders issued by MSHA under section 104(d) of the Mine Act for unwarrantable failure to comply with mandatory, significant and substantial health or safety standards.
- *Section 110(b)(2) Violations* : Flagrant violations issued by MSHA under section 110(b)(2) of the Mine Act.
- *Section 107(a) Orders* : Orders issued by MSHA under section 107(a) of the Mine Act for situations in which MSHA determined an “imminent danger” (as defined by MSHA) existed.

<u>Mine</u> ⁽¹⁾	<u>Section 104(a) S&S Citations</u> ⁽²⁾	<u>Section 104(b) Orders</u>	<u>Section 104(d) S&S Citations and Orders</u> ⁽²⁾	<u>Section 110(b)(2) Violations</u>	<u>Section 107(a) Orders</u>	<u>(\$ in millions) Proposed MSHA Assessments</u> ⁽³⁾	<u>Fatalities</u>
Chukar	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Emigrant	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Exodus	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Genesis	1	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Leeville	4	—	—	—	—	\$ 0.05	—
Lone Tree	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Midas	2	—	—	—	—	\$ 0.03	—
Pete Bajo	1	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Phoenix	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
South Area	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Twin Creeks	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
Vista	—	—	—	—	—	\$ —	—
TOTAL	8	—	—	—	—	\$ 0.08	—

- (1) The definition of a mine under section 3 of the Mine Act includes the mine, as well as other items used in, or to be used in, or resulting from, the work of extracting minerals, such as land, structures, facilities, equipment, machines, tools, and minerals preparation facilities. Unless otherwise indicated, any of these other items associated with a single mine have been aggregated in the totals for that mine. MSHA assigns an identification number to each mine and may or may not assign separate identification numbers to related facilities such as preparation facilities. We are providing the information in the table by mine rather than MSHA identification number because that is how we manage and operate our mining business and we believe this presentation will be more useful to investors than providing information based on MSHA identification numbers.
- (2) 8 Section 104(a) S&S Citations and - Section 104(d) S&S Citations and Orders were subject to contest as of March 31, 2013.
- (3) Represents the total dollar value of the proposed assessment from MSHA under the Mine Act pursuant to the citations and or orders preceding such dollar value in the corresponding row. No proposed assessments of the orders or citations listed above had yet been posted to the MSHA data retrieval system or made available to the Company by MSHA as of April 8, 2013.

Pattern or Potential Pattern of Violations . During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, none of the mines operated by us received written notice from MSHA of (a) a pattern of violations of mandatory health or safety standards that are of such nature as could have significantly and substantially contributed to the cause and effect of mine health or safety hazards under section 104(e) of the Mine Act or (b) the potential to have such a pattern.

Pending Legal Actions . The following table reflects pending legal actions before the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission (the "Commission"), an independent adjudicative agency that provides administrative trial and appellate review of legal disputes arising under the Mine Act, as of March 31, 2013, together with the number of legal actions instituted and the number of legal actions resolved during 2013.

<u>Mine</u> ⁽¹⁾	Pending Legal Actions as of 3/31/2013 ⁽²⁾	Legal Actions Instituted as of 3/31/2013	Legal Actions Resolved as of 3/31/2013
Chukar	—	—	—
Emigrant	—	—	—
Exodus	3	1	4
Genesis	3	1	1
Leeville	12	2	—
Lone Tree	1	1	—
Midas	8	2	1
Pete Bajo	1	1	—
Phoenix	3	1	—
South Area	8	—	—
Twin Creeks	—	—	1
Vista	—	—	—
TOTAL	39	9	7

- (1) The definition of a mine under section 3 of the Mine Act includes the mine, as well as other items used in, or to be used in, or resulting from, the work of extracting minerals, such as land, structures, facilities, equipment, machines, tools and minerals preparation facilities. Unless otherwise indicated, any of these other items associated with a single mine have been aggregated in the totals for that mine. MSHA assigns an identification number to each mine and may or may not assign separate identification numbers to related facilities such as preparation facilities. We are providing the information in the table by mine rather than MSHA identification number because that is how we manage and operate our mining business and we believe this presentation will be more useful to investors than providing information based on MSHA identification numbers.
- (2) The foregoing list includes legal actions which were initiated prior to the current reporting period and which do not necessarily relate to citations, orders or proposed assessments issued by MSHA during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The number of legal actions noted above are reported on a per docket basis.

Legal actions pending before the Commission may involve, among other questions, challenges by operators to citations, orders and penalties they have received from MSHA or complaints of discrimination by miners under section 105 of the Mine Act. The following is a brief description of the types of legal actions that may be brought before the Commission.

- *Contests of Citations and Orders:* A contest proceeding may be filed with the Commission by operators, miners or miners' representatives to challenge the issuance of a citation or order issued by MSHA.
- *Contests of Proposed Penalties (Petitions for Assessment of Penalties):* A contest of a proposed penalty is an administrative proceeding before the Commission challenging a civil penalty that MSHA has proposed for the alleged violation contained in a citation or order. The validity of the citation may also be challenged in this proceeding as well.
- *Complaints for Compensation:* A complaint for compensation may be filed with the Commission by miners entitled to compensation when a mine is closed by certain withdrawal orders issued by MSHA. The purpose of the proceeding is to determine the amount of compensation, if any, due miners idled by the orders.
- *Complaints of Discharge, Discrimination or Interference:* A discrimination proceeding is a case that involves a miner's allegation that he or she has suffered a wrong by the operator because he or she engaged in some type of activity protected under the Mine Act, such as making a safety complaint.
- *Applications for Temporary Relief:* An application for temporary relief from any modification or termination of any order or from any order issued under section 104 of the Mine Act.

- *Appeals of Judges' Decisions or Orders to the Commission* : A filing with the Commission of a petition for discretionary review of a Judge's decision or order by a person who has been adversely affected or aggrieved by such decision or order.

The following table reflects the types of legal actions pending before the Commission as of March 31, 2013.

<u>Mine ⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>Contests of Citations and Orders</u>	<u>Contests of Proposed Penalties</u>	<u>Complaints for Compensation</u>	<u>Complaints of Discharge, Discrimination or Interference</u>	<u>Applications for Temporary Relief</u>	<u>Appeals of Judges' Decisions or Orders to the Commission</u>
Chukar	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emigrant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exodus	—	3	—	—	—	—
Genesis	—	12	—	—	—	—
Leeville	—	17	—	—	—	—
Lone Tree	—	1	—	—	—	—
Midas	—	9	—	—	—	1
Pete Bajo	—	5	—	—	—	—
Phoenix	—	1	—	—	—	—
South Area	—	1	—	—	—	—
Twin Creeks	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vista	—	—	—	—	—	—
TOTAL	—	49	—	—	—	1

- (1) The definition of a mine under section 3 of the Mine Act includes the mine, as well as other items used in, or to be used in, or resulting from, the work of extracting minerals, such as land, structures, facilities, equipment, machines, tools and minerals preparation facilities. Unless otherwise indicated, any of these other items associated with a single mine have been aggregated in the totals for that mine. MSHA assigns an identification number to each mine and may or may not assign separate identification numbers to related facilities such as preparation facilities. We are providing the information in the table by mine rather than MSHA identification number because that is how we manage and operate our mining business and we believe this presentation will be more useful to investors than providing information based on MSHA identification numbers.